

BY EMAIL & DoT website

**Government of India
Ministry of Communications
Department of Telecommunications
Sanchar Bhawan, 20, Ashoka Road, New Delhi - 110 001
(Data Services Cell)**

No. 813-07/LM-20/2023-DS-II

Dated: 24.01.2024

To,
All Internet Service Licensee's

Subject: CS (COMM) No. 202 of 2023 Universal City studios LLC & Ors. v. fzmovies.net & Ors.

Kindly refer to the following:

- (i) Hon'ble Delhi High Court order dated **16.01.2024** on the subject. (Annexure-I)
- (ii) Please refer **Para 29** court order dated **11.04.2023** regarding blocking of **11 websites** identified by plaintiff.
- (iii) Memo of Parties in CS (Comm) No. 202 of 2023. (**Annexure -II**)

(Copies enclosed for ready reference)

2. In view of the above all the Internet Service licensees are hereby instructed to take immediate necessary action for blocking access to websites of defendants no. **71 to 81** as given in memo of parties.



Director (DS-II)

Email: dirds2-dot@nic.in

Encl:A/A

Copy to:

- (i) Sh. V.Chinnasamy, Scientist E (chinnasamy.v@meity.gov.in), Electronics Niketan, Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MeitY) New Delhi for kind information and with request to take action as per **Annexure-III**.
- (ii) Raghav Goyal, (raghav@saikrishnaassociates.com) counsel for the plaintiff for kind information.
 - a) Take action as per Annexure-III.

(iii) Rakesh Kumar, CGSC (rakeshkumarcgsc@gmail.com) counsel for kind information.

(iv) IT wing of DoT for uploading on DoT websites please.



\$~21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 202/2023**

**UNIVERSAL CITY STUDIOS LLC &
ORS.**

..... Plaintiffs

Through: Mr.Saikrishna Rajagopal, Mr.Sidharth
Chopra, Ms.Suhasini Raina, Ms.R.
Ramya, Mr.Sanidhya Rao, Ms. Mehr
Sidhu and Mr.Raghav Goyal,
Advocates.

versus

FZMOVIES.NET & ORS.

..... Defendants

Through: None.

**CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL**

ORDER
11.04.2023

%

I.A. 6561/2023 (for exemption)

1. Subject to the plaintiffs filing original, certified and legible copies of any document on which the plaintiffs may seek to place reliance within four weeks from today, exemption is granted for the present.

2. The application stands disposed of.

I.A. 6562/2023 (under Section 80 CPC for exemption from serving advance notice)

3. The present application has been filed on behalf of the plaintiffs' seeking exemption from advance service to the defendants no. 50 (DOT) and 51 (MEITY) under Section 80 of Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (CPC).

4. In view of the urgent *ex parte* relief sought, the plaintiffs are granted



exemption from requirement of issuing notice to the defendants no. 50 and 51 under Section 80 of CPC.

5. The application stands disposed of.

CS(COMM) 202/2023

6. Let the plaint be registered as a suit.

7. Issue summons.

8. Summons be issued to the defendants through all modes. The summons shall state that the written statement(s) shall be filed by the defendants within thirty days from the date of the receipt of summons. Along with the written statement(s), the defendants shall also file an affidavit of admission/denial of the documents of the plaintiffs, without which the written statement(s) shall not be taken on record.

9. Liberty is given to the plaintiffs to file replication(s), if any, within thirty days from the receipt of the written statement(s). Along with the replication(s) filed by the plaintiffs, an affidavit of admission/denial of the documents of the defendants, be filed by the plaintiffs.

10. The parties shall file all original documents in support of their respective claims along with their respective pleadings. In case parties are placing reliance on a document, which is not in their power and possession, its detail and source shall be mentioned in the list of reliance, which shall be also filed with the pleadings.

11. If any of the parties wish to seek inspection of any documents, the same shall be sought and given within the timelines.

12. List before the Joint Registrar on 20th July, 2023 for completion of service and pleadings.

13. List before the Court on 29th August, 2023.



I.A. 6560/2023 (O-XXXIX R-1 & 2 of CPC)

14. The present suit has been filed for permanent injunction, rendition of accounts and damages for the infringement of exclusive rights in the plaintiffs' original content/work, which is protected under the Copyright Act, 1957, against defendants no. 1-40 with additional domains, that are rogue websites and substantially indulge in online piracy by making original content available for download and otherwise providing access to infringing and illegal content.

15. The plaintiffs no. 1-6, i.e., Universal City Studios LLC.; Warner Bros. Entertainment Inc.; Columbia Picture Industries, Inc.; Netflix Studios, LLC.; Paramount Pictures Corporation and Disney Enterprises, Inc. are leading entertainment companies globally known for producing films such as Mulan, Lego Batman, Finding Nemo, Finding Dory etc. The plaintiffs have exclusive rights to communicate their content to the public.

16. It is contended that defendants no. 1 to 40, ("defendant websites") are online locations which enable the use of defendant websites' services, without any authorisation or license from the plaintiffs, to (a) view (by a process known as streaming/ downloading) cinematograph films, motion pictures, television programs or other audio-visual content, on devices connected to the Internet; (b) cause copies of those cinematograph films to be downloaded onto the memory of their devices for watching later or enabling others to watch or further copy those cinematograph film, and/or (c) identify other online locations including (by a process known as "linking"), which enable those users to engage in the activities set out in (a) or (b). An illustrative list of illegal content made available by the defendant



websites has been provided in paragraph 30 of the plaint.

17. In order to protect and enforce their exclusive rights, the plaintiffs investigated and monitored the defendants' websites and gathered evidence of their infringing activities, which has been filed along with the suit. Evidence collected by the investigator also shows that the operators of the defendant websites are using known "pirate branding" to signal to users that the defendant websites are merely new iterations of sites that have been blocked earlier. Therefore, the defendant websites being in the form of new iterations and that the new iterations almost invariably have the same functionality and purpose as the earlier blocked sites.

18. It is further contended that despite the legal notice calling upon the concerned defendants to cease from engaging in their infringing activities, they continue to infringe the rights in the plaintiffs' original content. It is also contended that access to many of defendants' websites has been previously disabled in other jurisdictions, as elaborated in paragraph 37 of the plaint.

19. In light of the above, it is contended that the defendants nos. 1 to 40 are liable for infringement of the plaintiffs' copyright works under Section 51(a)(ii), Section 51(b) and Section 51(a)(i) of the Act, for making a copy of the original content, including storing of it in any medium by electronic or other means and communicating the original content to the public the hosting, streaming, reproducing, distributing, making available to the public, and/or communicating to the public of the original content for streaming and downloading, or facilitating the same, without authorization of the plaintiffs. In support of the aforesaid contention, reliance is placed on the decision of this court in CS(COMM) 724 of 2017 dated 10th April, 2019 titled *UTV*



Software Communication Ltd. &Anr. v. 1337x.to and Ors.

20. It is further contended by the plaintiffs' counsel that majority of the defendants no. 1-40's websites are anonymous in nature and the information provided in the public domain regarding the owners of the website is either incomplete, incorrect and/or protected behind a veil of secrecy. These websites hide behind domain privacy services offered by various domain name Registrars, which enable a website owner to hide behind a veil and not disclose any contact details publicly, to protect his privacy.

21. In order to overcome this, the plaintiffs have arrayed various internet and telecom services providers (ISPs) as the defendants no. 41-49 (hereinafter "the said ISPs"), DoT as the defendant no. 50, MEITY as the defendant no. 51 in the present suit to ensure the effective implementation of orders passed by this Court.

22. Issue notice

23. Notice be issued to the defendants through all modes.

24. Reply (ies) be filed within four weeks.

25. Rejoinder(s) thereto, if any, be filed within two weeks thereafter.

26. In view of the averments noted hereinabove and in view of the judgment passed in ***UTV Software Communication Ltd. (supra)***, this Court is of the opinion that a *prima facie* case is made out in favour of the plaintiffs. Balance of convenience is also in favour of the plaintiffs. Further, irreparable harm or injury would be caused to the plaintiffs if an interim injunction order is not passed.

27. Accordingly, the defendants no. 1 to 40 (and any such other mirror/redirect/alphanumeric website which appears to be associated with any of the defendant websites based on its name, branding or the identity of



its operator, or discovered to provide additional means of accessing, the defendant websites, and other domains/domain along with their sub domains and subdirectories, owners/website operators/entities which are discovered to have been engaging in infringing the plaintiffs' exclusive rights), their owners, partners, proprietors, officers, servants, employees, and all others in capacity of principals or agents, acting for and on their behalf, or anyone claiming through, by or under them are hereby restrained, from, in any manner hosting, streaming, reproducing, distributing, making available to the public and/or communicating to the public, or facilitating the same, on their websites, through the internet in any manner whatsoever, any cinematograph work/content/programme/show in relation to which plaintiffs have a copyright.

28. The defendants no. 41 to 49 shall ensure compliance with this order by blocking defendants no. 1 to 40 websites, their URLs and the respective IP addresses as filed along with the suit (Pg 26- 33 - Vol 1) (also Annexed herewith as Document -2).

29. The defendants no. 50 and 51 are further directed to take immediate steps and issue requisite notifications within five working days, calling upon various internet and telecom service providers registered under them to block the aforementioned websites identified by the plaintiffs.

30. Further, as held by this court in *UTV Software Communication Ltd.* (*supra*), in order for this court to be freed from constant monitoring and adjudicating the issues of mirror/redirect/alphanumeric websites, it is directed that as and when plaintiffs file an application under Order I Rule 10 of the CPC for impleadment of such websites, the plaintiffs shall file an affidavit confirming that the newly impleaded website is



mirror/redirect/alphanumeric website, which appears to be associated with any of the defendant websites based on its name, branding or the identity of its operator, or has been discovered to provide additional means of accessing the defendant websites and other domains/domain along with their subdomains and subdirectories, owners/website operators/entities, which are discovered to have been engaging in infringing the plaintiffs' exclusive rights, with sufficient supporting evidence. Such application shall be listed before the Joint Registrar, who on being satisfied with the material placed on record, shall issue appropriate directions to the ISPs.

31. Compliance under Order XXXIX Rule 3 of the CPC be done within ten days from today.

32. List before the Joint Registrar on 20th July, 2023 for completion of service and pleadings.

33. List before the Court on 29th August, 2023.

AMIT BANSAL, J

APRIL 11, 2023

sr




LIST OF WEBSITES

S.No.	Domain Name	URL	IP Address
Defendant No. 1			
1.	fzmovies.net	https://fzmovies.net	172.67.174.51
			104.21.30.242
Defendant No. 2			
2.	5gomovies.to	https://5gomovies.to	176.123.6.25
	5gomovies.ch	https://5gomovies.ch	176.123.6.25
	0gomovies.com	http://0gomovies.com	85.92.108.146
	0gomovies.st	http://0gomovies.st	85.92.108.146
	0gomovies.ru	http://0gomovies.ru	85.92.108.146
Defendant No. 3			
3.	6movies.net	https://www3.6movies.net	104.21.61.56
			172.67.206.129
Defendant No. 4			
4.	imapple.app	https://imapple.app	104.21.1.9 172.67.151.185
Defendant No. 5			
5.	37ou.com	http://37ou.com	45.158.22.182 45.158.22.204
Defendant No. 6			

[Handwritten Signature]
True Copy




6.	88hd.com	https://88hd.com	23.224.135.3 23.224.135.4
	88ys.cn	http://88ys.cn	23.225.30.100
Defendant No. 7			
7.	123moviesite.one	https://123moviesite.one	104.21.21.104 172.67.197.242
Defendant No. 8			
8.	123series.io	https://123series.io	104.21.44.146 172.67.200.200
Defendant No. 9			
9.	1234movies.me	https://1234movies.me	104.21.80.243 172.67.155.155
	the123movies.cz	https://the123movies.cz	104.21.50.245
Defendant No. 10			
10.	1337xx.st	https://1337xx.st	104.21.0.117 172.67.150.237
Defendant No. 11			
11.	attacker.tv	https://www1.attacker.tv	104.21.78.239 172.67.138.111
Defendant No. 12			
12.	b-bmovies.com	https://b-bmovies.com	104.21.93.73 172.67.206.104
Defendant No. 13			
13.	dandanzan.com	https://dandanzan.com	104.21.62.196 172.67.138.192


True Copy




	dandanzan.tv	https://dandanzan.tv	104.21.13.239 172.67.133.122
	dandanzan10.top	https://www.dandanzan10.top	104.21.68.183 172.67.197.208
Defendant No. 14			
14.	duboku.io	https://u.duboku.io	104.26.6.224 104.26.7.224
	duboku.one	https://duboku.one	104.21.43.49 172.67.220.11
	duboku.ru	https://duboku.ru	104.21.39.82 172.67.143.203
	duboku.tv	https://www.duboku.tv	104.26.6.9 104.26.7.9
	dubokutv.net	https://dubokutv.net	104.21.54.168 172.67.140.173
Defendant No. 15			
15.	filmyfly.co	https://filmyfly.co	172.67.189.78 104.21.81.113
	filmy4wap.xyz	http://filmy4wap.xyz	104.21.63.243 172.67.173.94
Defendant No. 16			
16.	flixdhd.cc	https://flixdhd.cc	104.21.21.66 172.67.196.206
Defendant No. 17			
17.	fmovies.hn	https://www.fmovies.hn	104.21.233.247 104.21.233.248
Defendant No. 18			


True Copy




18.	gimytv.io	https://gimytv.io	104.21.51.36 172.67.220.122
	gimytv.in	http://gimytv.in	104.21.1.139 172.67.129.103
Defendant No. 19			
19.	iyf.tv	https://new.iyf.tv	172.67.38.12 104.22.44.102
	flyv.tv	http://flyv.tv	104.26.14.216 104.26.15.216
Defendant No. 20			
20.	kisskh.me	https://kisskh.me	172.67.190.116 104.21.19.231
Defendant No. 21			
21.	movieorca.com	https://www2.movieorca.com	104.21.72.191 172.67.154.137
Defendant No. 22			
22.	mudvod.tv	https://mudvod.tv	104.22.2.179 104.22.3.179
	nivod3.tv	https://www.nivod3.tv	104.21.2.17 172.67.128.148
	nivod.tv	https://nivod.tv	104.22.36.208 104.22.37.208
	nbys1.tv	http://nbys1.tv	104.22.20.119 104.22.21.119
	nivod2.tv	https://www.nivod2.tv	104.21.18.147 172.67.182.88
	mudvod1.tv	http://mudvod1.tv	104.22.40.104


True Copy




			104.22.41.104
	nbyy.tv	http://nbyy.tv	104.22.46.66 104.22.47.66
	nivodi.tv	https://www.nivodi.tv	104.21.60.22 172.67.187.187
Defendant No. 23			
23.	proxyninja.org	https://proxyninja.org	104.21.67.39 172.67.211.246
Defendant No. 24			
24.	nunuyy5.org	https://nunuyy5.org	172.67.179.22 104.21.35.196
	nunuyy1.org	http://nunuyy1.org	104.21.33.211 172.67.166.218
	nunuyy2.org	http://nunuyy2.org	104.21.79.141 172.67.146.14
	nunuyy3.org	http://nunuyy3.org	172.64.160.17 172.64.161.17
Defendant No. 25			
25.	olevod.com	https://www.olevod.com	51.81.155.238 51.81.155.240
	olevod.tv	https://olevod.tv	104.21.20.61 172.67.191.211
Defendant No. 26			
26.	pencurimovies.gives	https://ww24.pencurimovies.gives	172.67.136.6 104.21.54.61
	movisubmalay.today	http://movisubmalay.today	104.21.67.101 172.67.220.174


True Copy



	pencurimovies.site	http://pencurimovies.site	104.21.10.184 172.67.190.201
Defendant No. 27			
27.	qkan8.com	https://qkan8.com	104.21.68.126 172.67.195.136
Defendant No. 28			
28.	rarbggo.to	https://www1.rarbggo.to	172.67.216.4 104.21.78.43
Defendant No. 29			
29.	scene-rls.net	http://scene-rls.net	104.26.12.240 104.26.13.240 172.67.69.133
Defendant No. 30			
30.	shancaowu.com	https://shancaowu.com	104.21.4.140 172.67.154.30
Defendant No. 31			
31.	tangrenjie.tv	https://www.tangrenjie.tv	104.26.0.56 104.26.1.56 172.67.72.242
Defendant No. 32			
32.	tfpdl.se	https://tfpdl.se	172.67.131.9 104.21.9.209
Defendant No. 33			
33.	theflixer.tv	https://theflixer.tv	104.21.44.185 172.67.202.155
Defendant No. 34			
34.	thepiratebay.party	https://thepiratebay.party	104.31.16.6


True Copy



			104.31.16.123
	thepiratebay10.org	https://thepiratebay10.org	104.31.16.10 104.31.16.119
	thepiratebay0.org	https://thepiratebay0.org	104.31.16.7 104.31.16.122
	pirateproxy-bay.com	https://www.pirateproxy-bay.com	104.31.16.10 104.31.16.119
Defendant No. 35			
35.	gowatchseries.ch	https://gowatchseries.ch	104.21.78.69 172.67.217.117
Defendant No. 36			
36.	ttsp.tv	https://ttsp.tv	104.21.84.240 172.67.198.229
Defendant No. 37			
37.	watchmoviesonlinepk.com	https://www12.watchmoviesonlinepk.com	104.21.29.219 172.67.149.213
	movies-watch.com.pk	https://www.movies-watch.com.pk	104.26.8.21 104.26.9.21,
Defendant No. 38			
38.	watchseries.id	https://watchseries.id	104.21.95.85 172.67.143.217
Defendant No. 39			
39.	xiaoheimi.net	https://xiaoheimi.net	104.21.86.101 172.67.217.212
Defendant No. 40			
40.	yts.do	https://yts.do	104.21.1.207

True Copy



			172.67.129.235
	yts-mx.co	https://yts-mx.co	172.67.207.62 104.21.66.203
	yts.rocks	http://yts.rocks	172.67.139.10 104.21.40.217

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/01/2024 at 16:05:23

[Signature]
True Copy

219-2
\$~26

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
CS(COMM) 202/2023
UNIVERSAL CITY STUDIOS LLC & ORS. Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Mehr Sidhu, Mr. Sanidhya
Maheshwari, Ms. R.Ramya,
Advocates

versus

FZMOVIES.NET & ORS. Defendant

Through: Mr. Rakesh Kumar, CGSC with
Mr. Sunil, Advocate for UOI

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) Dr. AJAY
GULATI (DHJS)

ORDER
16.01.2024

%

I.A. No. 1084/2024 on behalf of the plaintiffs under Order I
Rule 10 CPC seeking impleadment of mirrors, redirects, or
alphanumeric variations as additional defendant nos. 71 to 81
in the memo of parties.

Heard.

Vide this order, I shall dispose off the present application filed by the plaintiff under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC for impleadment of fresh defendants. Learned counsel for the plaintiff has submitted that the Hon'ble Court was pleased to grant an ex-parte ad-interim injunction in this suit against the defendants vide order dated 11.04.2023 for infringement of copyrights of the plaintiff with further directions that as and when plaintiff files an application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC for impleadment as defendants of such other websites, plaintiff shall file an affidavit confirming that the websites to be impleaded are mirror/ redirect/ alphanumeric websites, with sufficient supporting evidence.

Hon'ble Court had further directed that the application for impleadment shall be listed before the Joint Registrar, who on being satisfied with the material placed on record, shall issue directions to the ISPs to disable access in India to such mirror/redirect/alphanumeric websites.

It has been submitted that after passing of the order dated 11.04.2023, other websites, as disclosed in the present application, have also started violation of the plaintiff's copyrights. These websites are mirror / redirects / alphanumeric variations of the websites which were blocked pursuant to the order dated 11.04.2023 and are also necessary party to this suit. It has been further stated that details of the proposed defendants have been disclosed in Schedule-A annexed with application who are liable to be impleaded as defendants nos. 71 to 81. It has also been submitted that ex-parte injunction dated 11.04.2023 is also liable to be extended against the proposed defendants, in view of the material placed on record alongwith the present IA.

I have considered the submissions and perused the record. The law to deal with such applications and extension of *ex-parte ad-interim* injunction to the proposed defendants has already been laid down in *UTV Software Communication Ltd. & Ors. vs. 1337X.TO & Ors.*, wherein it has been observed, in paragraph 107, to the effect :

“107. Keeping in view the aforesaid findings, a decree of permanent injunction is passed restraining the defendant-websites (as mentioned in the chart in paragraph no. 4(i) of this judgment) their owners, partners, proprietors, officers, servants, employees, and all others in capacity of principal or agent acting for and on their behalf, or anyone claiming through, by or under it, from, in any

manner hosting, streaming, reproducing, distributing, making available to the public and/or communicating to the public, or facilitating the same, on their websites, through the internet in any manner whatsoever, any cinematograph work/content/ programme/show in relation to which plaintiffs have copyright. A decree is also passed directing the ISPs to block access to the said defendant-websites. DoT and MEITY are directed to issue a notification calling upon the various internet and telecom service providers registered under it to block access to the said defendant-websites. The plaintiffs are permitted to implead the mirror/redirect/alphanumeric websites under Order I Rule 10 CPC in the event they merely provide new means of accessing the same primary infringing websites that have been enjoined. The plaintiffs are also held entitled to actual costs of litigation. The costs shall amongst others include the lawyer's fees as well as the amount spent on Court-fees. The plaintiffs are given liberty to file on record the exact cost incurred by them in adjudication of the present suits. Registry is directed to prepare decree sheets accordingly."

Plaintiff has also filed alongwith an affidavit of investigator with sufficient material to prove that proposed defendants/websites are mirror/redirect/ alphanumeric websites which are also involved in violation of copyrights of the plaintiff. In view of the submissions, material put forth by the plaintiff, and directions passed by the Hon'ble Court in the order dated 11.04.2023, the websites mentioned in the prayer clause of the application and Schedule-A are impleaded as defendants nos. 71 to 81.

Since the newly added defendants are also stated to be involved in violation of copyrights of the plaintiff, accordingly

ex-parte ad-interim Injunction dated 11.04.2023 is also extended against the newly impleaded defendant nos. 71 to 81.

Amended memo of parties is taken on record.

I.A. stands disposed off.

Let defendant nos. 71 to 81 be summoned on filing of PF and through all permissible modes including e-mail, returnable on the next date of hearing.

Registry is **directed** to do the needful.

Copy of order be given *dasti*.

Put up for completion of pleadings on 01.02.2024, the date already fixed.


AJAY GULATI - I (DHJS),
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

JANUARY 16, 2024/sk

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)



Annexure-III

Subject: Action requested to be taken by MEITY and Plaintiff for effective removal of content for viewing by public at large within India as per the said orders of Hon'ble Court.

It is observed that a number of orders of Hon'ble Court are issued for blocking of websites every month. There are around more than 2700 ISPs in India and these ISPs are connected among themselves in a mesh network. DOT is instructing each of the ISPs through emails/through its website for blocking of the websites as ordered by the Hon'ble Courts. Ensuring compliance of the orders by each of the ISPs is a time-consuming and complex task especially in view of multiplicity of orders of Hon'ble Courts, multiplicity of websites to be blocked and multiplicity of ISPs.

2. Allocation of Business Rules inter-alia states thus:-

'Policy matters relating to information technology; Electronics; and Internet (all matters other than licensing of Internet Service Provider).'

3. In view of above and in order to ensure effective removal by content for viewing by public at large, the plaintiff is requested to do a trace route of the web server hosting the said website. In case the web server happens to be in India, the plaintiff may inform the same to Meity who may direct the owner of such web server to stop transmission of content as per IT Act and as directed by the Hon'ble Court so that the content would be blocked from the source itself and the exercise of blocking by 2700 ISPs would not be required.

4. In case such server is located abroad i.e. outside India then access to such URL/website can be blocked through the international internet gateways which are much less in number. This would result in timely and effectively removal of undesirable content for viewing by public at large as is the requirement as per the orders of Hon'ble Court.