

By Email/DoT Website

Government of India
Ministry of Communications
Department of Telecommunications
Sanchar Bhawan, 20, Ashoka Road, New Delhi - 110 001
(Data Services Cell)

No. 813-07/LM/2024-DS-II

Dated:23-04-2025

To,
All Licensees with Internet Service Authorization

Subject: CS(COMM) 1015 of 2024: TATA POWER RENEWABLE ENERGY LIMITED & ORS. versus ASHOK KUMAR/S & ORS. in the High Court of Delhi.

Kindly find enclosed the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi order dated **08.04.2025** in the captioned suit.

2. Please refer to **para 30** of the said Court order with regard to blocking of access to impugned website/domain [**www.tatasolarpowerdistributor.com**] enumerated in **para 30** of the said Court order.

3. In view of the above, all Licensees with Internet Service Authorization are hereby instructed to take immediate necessary action with regard to blocking of access to the said website/domain, as above, in compliance with the said court order.

Encl: A/A

Digitally signed by
SHASHI KUMAR
Date: 23-04-2025
19:49:13

Director (DS-II)
Email: dirds2-dot@nic.in

Copy to:

- i) DG Telecom, DoT, New Delhi; Requested to arrange to block access to one mobile number [**+91-8597848806**] as per **Para 30** in compliance with the Court order dated **08.04.2025**.
- ii.) Sh. V. Chinnasamy, Scientist E (chinnasamy.v@meity.gov.in), Electronics Niketan, Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MeitY), New Delhi for kind information and with request to take action as per the enclosed Annexure pl.
- iii.) Plaintiff's Counsel for kind information and requested totake action as per the enclosed Annexure pl.



\$~43

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

I.A. 9181-9182/2025 & I.A. 9247/2025

IN

+ CS(COMM) 1015/2024

**TATA POWER RENEWABLE
ENERGY LIMITED & ORS.**

.....Plaintiffs

Through: Mr. Peeyoosh Kalra, Mr. C. A. Brijesh
and Mr. Krisna Gambhir, Advocates

versus

ASHOK KUMAR/S & ORS.

.....Defendants

Through: Ms. Deboleena Dutta, Advocate for
D-4

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

%

08.04.2025

I.A. 9247/2025 (u/O-I Rule 10(2) of the CPC seeking impleadment of the proposed defendants no.18 and 19) and I.A. 9181/2025 (u/O-VI Rule 17 of the CPC seeking amendment of plaint)

1. The present suit has been filed seeking relief of permanent injunction restraining infringement of trade marks and passing off along with other ancillary reliefs.
2. During the pendency of the suit, the plaintiffs have come to know about another infringing entity who is the registrant of the imposter domain name www.tatasolarpowerdistributor.com.
3. It is stated that the said entity has approached prospective dealers of the plaintiffs claiming to be an employee of the plaintiff no.1 and has also sent



out fake letters of intent bearing the plaintiffs' registered trade marks in order to dupe innocent consumers.

4. The proposed defendant no.19 is the domain name registrar of the aforesaid domain name of the proposed defendant no.18.

5. In my considered view, impleadment of the aforesaid entities is necessary for proper and effective adjudication of the suit.

6. Accordingly, the present application is allowed and the amended memo of parties is taken on record.

7. In view of the impleadment being allowed, the consequent amendment to the plaint is also allowed.

8. The amended plaint is taken on record.

9. Both the applications stand disposed of.

CS(COMM) 1015/2024

10. Let summons in the suit be issued to the newly impleaded defendants no.18 and 19 through email alone.

11. Written statement(s) be filed on behalf of the newly impleaded defendants no.18 and 19 within thirty (30) days from the date of the receipt of summons.

12. Replication(s) thereto, if any, be filed within thirty (30) days thereafter.

13. An affidavit of compliance has been filed on behalf of the defendant no.4.

14. In view of the above, counsel for the plaintiff does not object to deletion of the defendant no.4 from the array of parties, subject to the condition that the defendant no.4 shall continue to comply with the orders passed in this suit from time to time.

15. Accordingly, the defendant no.4 is deleted from the array of parties.



16. Let amended memo of parties be filed within one (1) week from today.

I.A. 9182/2025 (u/O-XXXIX Rules 1 & 2 of the CPC)

17. This is a fresh application filed on behalf of the plaintiffs under Order XXXIX Rules 1 and 2 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (hereinafter 'CPC') seeking grant of *ad interim* relief against the newly impleaded defendants no.18 and 19.

18. *Vide* order dated 14th November, 2024, this Court had granted an *ex-parte ad interim* injunction in favour of the plaintiffs and against the defendant no.1 and certain directions were also issued *qua* proforma defendants no.2 to 17.

19. It is the case of the plaintiffs that the defendant no.18, in connivance with the defendant no.1, is contacting the current and prospective dealers/customers of the plaintiffs *via* calls as well as emails claiming to be employees of the plaintiff no.1 and collecting sums of money and duping innocent consumers.

20. Based on the averments made in the present application, a *prima facie* case has been made out in favour of the plaintiffs and against the newly impleaded defendant no.18. Clearly, the plaintiffs' registered trade marks are being used by the newly impleaded defendant no.18 with the clear intent to defraud, dupe and deceive the plaintiffs' current and prospective dealers/consumers, who would associate the activities of the newly impleaded defendant no.18 to be originating from the plaintiffs.

21. Balance of convenience is also in favour of the plaintiffs and against the newly impleaded defendant no.18. Irreparable loss, harm and injury would be caused to the plaintiffs if the newly impleaded defendant no.18 continues to use the impugned domain name, which bears the plaintiffs' registered



marks and are likely to cause confusion among the unwary consumers. Prejudice would also be caused to the public at large as the potential dealers/customers of the plaintiffs are being targeted and defrauded by the newly impleaded defendant no.18.

22. In view of the above, unless an *ex-parte ad interim* injunction is granted in favour of the plaintiffs and against the newly impleaded defendant no.18, it shall continue to dupe and defraud the public.

23. Consequently, till the next date of hearing, the defendant no.18, its owners, proprietors, employees, partners, representatives and/ or others acting for and on its behalf are restrained from using the marks TATA, TATA

POWER,  ,  ,  and  or any deceptively similar variant thereof.

24. Further, the defendant no.2 is directed block the mobile number +91-8597848806 and provide the details of the owners of the said mobile number.

25. The defendant no.6 (Punjab National Bank) is directed to freeze the bank account bearing account number 0768101100000204 and provide details of the respective account holders along with documents including bank statements, identity proofs and registration form, etc. available with the aforesaid Bank pertaining to the aforesaid account number.

26. The defendant no.8 (Indian Overseas Bank) is directed to freeze the



bank account bearing account numbers 329301000006310 and 379101000005061 and provide details of the respective account holders along with documents including bank statements, identity proofs and registration form, etc. available with the aforesaid Bank pertaining to the aforesaid account numbers.

27. The defendant no.13 (Canara Bank) is directed to freeze the bank account bearing account numbers 110207981250 and 110228811107 and provide details of the respective account holders along with documents including bank statements, identity proofs and registration form, etc. available with the aforesaid Bank pertaining to the aforesaid account numbers.

28. The defendant no.17 (Bank of Baroda) is directed to freeze the bank account bearing account number 79900100007902 and provide details of the respective account holders along with documents including bank statements, identity proofs and registration form, etc. available with the aforesaid Bank pertaining to the aforesaid account numbers.

29. The newly impleaded defendant no.19 is directed to lock and suspend the impugned domain name www.tatasolarpowerdistributor.com and associated email address(es) as also disclose the contact particulars and KYC details of the registrant of the aforesaid domain name.

30. The defendant no.16 (DoT) and the defendant no.17 (MeitY) are directed to issue notifications calling upon the various internet and telecom service providers registered under them to block access to the impugned website accessible at the impugned domain name www.tatasolarpowerdistributor.com and mobile number +91-8597848806 identified by the plaintiffs.

31. The aforesaid directions shall be complied with by the aforesaid



defendants within two (2) weeks from service and the necessary compliance affidavits with regard to the same shall be filed within four (4) weeks thereafter.

32. Issue Notice.

33. Notice be issued to the defendants through all permissible modes, including email.

34. Reply(ies) be filed within four (4) weeks.

35. Rejoinder(s) thereto, if any, be filed within two (2) weeks thereafter.

36. Compliance under Order XXXIX Rule 3 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 be done within one (1) week from today.

37. List before the Joint Registrar on 29th April, 2025, for completion of service and pleadings.

38. List before the Court on 21st July, 2025.

AMIT BANSAL, J

APRIL 8, 2025
ds

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
(ORIGINAL COMMERCIAL JURISDICTION)

I.A. No. _____ of 2025

IN

CS(COMM) NO. 1015 OF 2024

IN THE MATTER OF:

1. Tata Power Renewable Energy Limited
Corporate Centre, A Block, 34, Sant Tukaram Road,
Carnac Bunder,
Mumbai, Maharashtra – 400009 ...Plaintiff No. 1
2. Tata Power EV Charging Solutions Limited
Corporate Centre, 34, Sant Tukaram Road,
Carnac Bunder,
Mumbai, Maharashtra – 400009 ...Plaintiff No. 2
3. Tata Sons Private Limited
Bombay House 24, Homi Mody Street,
Mumbai, Maharashtra – 400001 ...Plaintiff No. 3

VERSUS

1. Ashok Kumar/s (John Doe/s)
+91-8582918388
+91-6284623558
+91-6290642946
+91-7294167526
+91-9088122986
+91-7384958215
+91-8920950301
+91-8597848806
Email: NA ...Defendant No. 1

2. Bharti Airtel Limited
Airtel Center, Plot No. 16,
Udyog Vihar, Phase-IV, Gurgaon,
Haryana – 122015
compliance.officer@bharti.in ...Defendant No. 2
3. Reliance Jio Infocomm Ltd.
5th Floor, Maker Chambers IV,
222, Nariman Point, Mumbai,
Maharashtra - 400021
ivyoti.jain@ril.com ...Defendant No. 3
4. Vodafone Idea Limited
Suman Tower, Plot No. 18,
Sector-11, Gandhinagar,
Gujarat – 382011
pankaj.kapdeo@vodafoneidea.com ...Defendant No. 4
5. Bank of India
Star House, C-5, G Block,
Bandra-Kurla Complex, Bandra (East),
Mumbai – 400051
complaint.vigilance@bankofindia.co.in ...Defendant No. 5
6. Punjab National Bank
Plot No. 4, Sector-10,
Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075
care@pnb.co.in ...Defendant No. 6
7. Kotak Mahindra Bank
27 BKC, C 27,
G Block, Bandra Kurla Complex,
Bandra (E), Mumbai – 400051
pankaj.mishra4@kotak.com ...Defendant No. 7

8. Indian Overseas Bank
P.B. No. 3765, 763,
Anna Salai, Chennai – 600002
cybercell@iob.in ...Defendant No. 8
9. HDFC Bank Limited
HDFC Bank House, Senapati Bapat Marg,
Lower Parel W, Mumbai,
Maharashtra – 400013
santosh.haldankar@hdfcbank.com ...Defendant No. 9
10. Central Bank of India
Chander Mukhi,
Nariman Point,
Mumbai – 400021
zmmmzo@centralbank.co.in ...Defendant No. 10
11. Yes Bank Limited
Yes Bank House,
Off. Western Express Highway,
Santacruz East, Mumbai,
Maharashtra - 400055
principal.nodalofficer@yesbank.in ...Defendant No. 11
12. Federal Bank
P.B. No. 103,
Federal Towers, Aluva,
Kochi, Kerala – 683101
contact@federalbank.co.in ...Defendant No. 12

13. Canara Bank
112, J.C Road,
Bengaluru – 560002
hocss1@canarabank.com ...Defendant No. 13
14. Bank of Baroda
Baroda House, Mandvi,
Baroda – 390001
Gm.ops.ho@bankofbaroda.com ...Defendant No. 14
15. National Payments Corporation of India
Level 8, Executive Centre,
DLF Centre, Sansad Marg,
Connaught Place,
New Delhi – 110001
upihelp@npci.org.in ...Defendant No. 15
16. Department of Telecommunications
Sanchar Bhawan, 20, Ashoka Road,
New Delhi – 110001
ddgit-dot@gov.in ...Defendant No. 16
17. Ministry of Electronic and Information Technology
Electronics Niketan, 6, CGO Complex,
Lodhi Road, New Delhi – 110003
Cyberlaw-legal@meity.gov.in ...Defendant No. 17
18. www.tatasolarpowerdistributor.com
info@tatasolarpowerdistributor.com ...Defendant No. 18
19. OwnRegistrar, Inc.
abuse@ownregistrar.com ...Defendant No. 19

Annexure

Subject: Action requested to be taken by MEITY and Plaintiff for effective removal of content for viewing by public at large within India as per the said orders of Hon'ble Court.

It is observed that a number of orders of Hon'ble Court are issued for blocking of websites every month. There are around more than 2700 ISPs in India and these ISPs are connected among themselves in a mesh network. DOT is instructing each of the ISPs through emails/through its website for blocking of the websites as ordered by the Hon'ble Courts. Ensuring compliance of the orders by each of the ISPs is a time-consuming and complex task especially in view of multiplicity of orders of Hon'ble Courts, multiplicity of websites to be blocked and multiplicity of ISPs.

2. Allocation of Business Rules inter-alia states thus:-

'Policy matters relating to information technology; Electronics; and Internet (all matters other than licensing of Internet Service Provider).'

3. In view of above and in order to ensure effective removal by content for viewing by public at large, the plaintiff is requested to do a trace route of the web server hosting the said website. In case the web server happens to be in India, the plaintiff may inform the same to Meity who may direct the owner of such web server to stop transmission of content as per IT Act and as directed by the Hon'ble Court so that the content would be blocked from the source itself and the exercise of blocking by 2700 ISPs would not be required.

4. In case such server is located abroad i.e. outside India then access to such URL/website can be blocked through the international internet gateways which are much less in number. This would result in timely and effectively removal of undesirable content for viewing by public at large as is the requirement as per the orders of Hon'ble Court.