

BY EMAIL & DoT website

**Government of India
Ministry of Communications
Department of Telecommunications
Sanchar Bhawan, 20, Ashoka Road, New Delhi - 110 001
(Data Services Cell)**

No. 813-07/LM-26/2024-DS-II

Dated:23-01-2025

To,

All the Internet Service Licensees

Subject: Cs (Comm) No. 449 Of 2024 Network18 Media And Investments Limited & Anr. Vs Www.Brawlersfightclub.Com & Ors. Before Hon'ble Delhi High Court.

Kindly find enclosed the Hon'ble Delhi High Court order dated **15.01.2025** (refer para 3) and **25.10.2024** (refer para 11) on the subject matter.

2. Please refer to the **para 11** of the court order dated 25.10.2024 in respect of blocking of **websites** enumerated memo of party from Def 30 to Def 31.

3. In view of the above, all the Internet Service licensees are hereby instructed to take immediate necessary action for blocking of the said websites, as above, for compliance of the said court order.

Digitally signed by

Shashi Kumar

Date: 23-01-2025 18:36:48

Director (DS-II)

Email: dirds2-dot@nic.in

Encl:A/A

Copy to:

- i. Sh. V. Chinnasamy, Scientist E (chinnasamy.v@meity.gov.in), Electronics Niketan, Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MeitY) New Delhi for kind information and with request to take action as per **Annexure**.
- ii. Ms. Malvika < malvika@saikrishnaassociates.com > Plaintiff Advocate for kind information. [Requested to take action as per Annexure]
- iii. IT wing of DoT for uploading on DoT websites please.



\$~5

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
 + CS(COMM) 449/2024
 NETWORK18 MEDIA AND INVESTMENTS LIMITED
 & ORS.Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Deepshikha Sarkar,
 Advocate

versus

WWW.BRAWLERSFIGHTCLUB.COM & ORS.
Defendant

Through: Mr. Aadhar Nautiyal,
 Ms. Shivangi Kohli, Advocates
 for D-12.

Mr. Yash Karunakaran (VC),
 Ms. Prasadhi Agrawal, Advocates
 for D-11.

Mr. Mrinal Ojha, Mr. Debarshi
 Dutta, Mr. Rishabh Agarwal,
 Ms. Nikita Rathi, Advocates for
 D-16.

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) Dr. AJAY GULATI

ORDER
15.01.2025

%

I.A. No. 896/2025 on behalf of the plaintiffs seeking impleadment of infringing mirror websites (notified on affidavit) as defendant nos. 30 & 31 and amendment of memo of parties.

1. The plaintiff is seeking impleadment of infringing mirror websites as defendant nos. 30 & 31 because they have been found to be infringing the Trade Mark / copyrighted material belonging to the plaintiffs. The application is supported by the required affidavit. Keeping in view the contents of the suit and



the application, the same is allowed. The proposed defendants are impleaded as defendant nos. 30 & 31.

2. The amended memo filed alongwith this IA is taken on record. Steps be taken within two weeks of necessary information being provided by the DNR's to serve the newly impleaded defendants with summons, through the electronic mode only.

3. The order blocking the rogue-websites passed by the Hon'ble Court on **28.05.2024** shall operate qua the freshly impleaded defendants as well, in terms of directions contained in **para 31 (g)** of the said order and **para 11** of the modified order dated **25.10.2024**. Accordingly, defendant nos. 13 to 17 (DNRs) are directed to lock and suspend mirror websites which shall give effect to the injunction by blocking defendant nos. 30 & 31 i.e. <https://hasucztaaksi.com/?buyer=DNIK&account=4148> and <https://luckysevylingerie.com/?buyer=DNIK&account=3927>.

Further defendant nos. 27 & 28 i.e. Department of Telecommunications and Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology shall issue blocking orders against defendant nos. 30 & 31 within a period of one week. IA is allowed and disposed off accordingly.

I.A. No. 45149/2024 (u/o-XXXIX Rule 1 & 2 CPC).

4. Reply and rejoinder has not been filed.

CS(COMM)- 449/2024

5. Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 16 (GoDaddy) submits that compliance has been carried out on 08.01.2025 of the directions passed by the Hon'ble Court vide order dated 25.10.2024 and an intimation thereof has been sent to ld. Counsel for the plaintiff



through email.

Pleadings between the plaintiff and defendants no. 11 & 12 are complete.

6. Put up for completion of pleadings on 21.03.2025.

Dr. AJAY GULATI
(DHJS),
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

JANUARY 15, 2025/sk

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)



\$~21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 449/2024, I.A. 30575/2024**

**NETWORK18 MEDIA AND INVESTMENTS
LIMITED & ORS.**

.....Plaintiffs

Through: Mr. Saikrishna Rajagopal with Mr.
Kushal Gupta, Ms. Deepshika Sarkar
and Mr. D. Upadhyaya, Advocates

versus

WWW.BRAWLERSFIGHTCLUB.COM & ORS.Defendants

Through: Mr. Tejas Karia, Mr. Varun Pathak,
Mr Yash Karunakaran and Ms
Prasidhi Agrawal, Advocates for D-
11.

Mr. Deepak Gogia and Mr. Aadhar
Nautiyal, Advocates for D-12.

Mr. Mrinal Ojha, Mr. Debarshi Dutta,
Mr. Arjun Mookerjee and Mr.
Rishabh Agarwal for D-16

**CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL**

ORDER
25.10.2024

%

I.A.30577/2024 (under Section 80 r/w Section 151 of CPC)

1. This application has been filed by the plaintiffs seeking exemption from issuing notice to the defendant no.27 (Department of Telecommunications) and the defendant no.28 (Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology).
2. For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed.

CS(COMM) 449/2024

Page 1 of 3



3. The plaintiffs are exempted from issuing notice under Section 80 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (CPC) to the defendant no.27 (Department of Telecommunications) and the defendant no.28 (Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology).

I.A. No.43493/2024 (for condonation of delay in filing replication)

4. Counsel for the plaintiffs does not press this application.

5. Accordingly, the application is closed.

I.A. 43432/2024 (under Order XXXIX Rule 4 for modification/clarification of the order passed by this Court.)

6. This application has been filed on behalf of the defendant no.16 seeking modification of the *ex parte ad interim* injunction order passed by this Court on 28th May, 2024 in I.A. 30575/2024.

7. Issue notice.

8. Mr. Saikrishan Rajagopal, counsel appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs accepts notice.

9. The applicant-defendant no.16 seeks the following modifications in the aforesaid order:

- “(i) The direction contained in paragraph 31 (b) be read as “lock and suspend” the respective domain names instead of “block/suspend access”;
- (ii) The direction for dynamic injunction at paragraph 31(g) be omitted;
- (iii) In the alternative to (ii), the learned Joint Registrar of this Hon’ble Court be directed to issue directions to ISPs to disable access to such mirror websites and to pass an order to lock and suspension of any future/additional domain, upon receiving the plaintiff’s affidavit demonstrating infringement of its rights.”

10. Insofar as prayer (i) is concerned, counsel for the plaintiffs does not oppose the same. Hence, the direction contained in paragraph 31 (b) will read as follows:-



“b. Defendants No. 13 to 17 [Domain Name Registrars] are directed to **lock and suspend** the respective domain names parked at websites mentioned in Annexure-A attached to this order. They shall, within four weeks from today, file in a sealed cover, the KYC details concerning the registrants of the aforesaid domain names, as are available with them. A copy of the said documents shall also be provided to counsel for Plaintiffs, which shall be strictly used by them for the purpose of investigation and identification of the perpetrators.”

11. Insofar as prayers (ii) and (iii) are concerned, having heard the counsel for the parties, I am inclined to modify the direction contained in paragraph 31 (g) in the following terms. The amended paragraph 31 (g) is set out below:-

“g. In the event, Plaintiffs discover other mirror websites that infringe the plaintiffs’ trademark/copyright, they shall file an affidavit confirming that the aforesaid website is a mirror/redirect/alphanumeric website with sufficient supporting evidence. Upon being satisfied that the impugned website is indeed a mirror/redirect/alphanumeric websites of the injuncted rogue website, the Joint Registrar, based on the interim order passed by this Court on 28th May, 2024, shall issue directions to the defendants No.13-17 (DNRs) to lock and suspend any such domain names filed on behalf of the plaintiffs and direct the defendants No.27 and 28 to issue necessary directions to the telecom service providers and internet service provider to block the aforesaid websites. The plaintiffs shall, within one week of having filed an affidavit, move an application under Order I Rule 10 of CPC for impleadment of the aforesaid infringing mirror websites.”

12. The application stands disposed of.

CS(COMM) 449/2024

13. List before the Joint Registrar on 15th January, 2025.

AMIT BANSAL, J

OCTOBER 25, 2024/Vivek



\$~12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 449/2024**

NETWORK18 MEDIA AND INVESTMENTS LIMITED & ORS.

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr. SaiKrishna Rajagopal, Advocate.

versus

WWW.BRAWLERSFIGHTCLUB.COM & ORS. Defendants

Through: Mr. Tejas Karia, Advocate with Mr. Varun Pathak, Mr. Yash Karunakarun, Ms. Adya Joshi and Ms. Arunima Srivastava, Advocates for Defendant No. 11.

Ms. Mrinal Ojha, Advocate with Ms. Debarshi Datta and Ms. Tanya Chaudhury, Advocates for Defendant No. 16.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

ORDER

28.05.2024

%

I.A. 30576/2024 (seeking exemption from invoking pre-institution mediation)

1. As the present suit contemplates urgent interim relief, in light of the judgment of Supreme Court in *Yamini Manohar v. T.K.D. Krithi*,¹ exemption from attempting pre-institution mediation is granted.
2. Disposed of.

¹ 2023 SCC OnLine SC 1382.



I.A. 30578/2024 (seeking exemption from filing certified copies/clear copies of documents with appropriate margin)

3. Exemption is granted, subject to all just exceptions.
4. The Plaintiff shall file legible and clearer copies of exempted documents, compliant with practice rules, before the next date of hearing.
5. Accordingly, the application stands disposed of.

I.A. 30577/2024 (application under Section 80 read with Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908)

6. Upon filing of process fee, issue notice to Defendant No. 27 and 28, by all permissible modes, returnable on the next date of hearing.
7. Reply, if any, be filed within four weeks from the date of service. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within two weeks thereafter.
8. Renotify on 25th October, 2024.

I.A. 30579/2024 (application under Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 seeking leave to file and place on record a pen drive containing the video of the interview with supporting affidavit)

9. Plaintiff seeks the leave of the Court to place on record a video recording, forming the subject matter of the present suit. Rule 24 of Chapter XI of the Delhi High Court (Original Side) Rules, 2018 makes it clear that electronic records can be received in CD/DVD/Medium encrypted with a hash value. The said Rule is extracted below:

“24. Reception of electronic evidence -A party seeking to tender any electronic record shall do so in a CD/ DVD/ Medium, encrypted with a hash value, the details of which shall be disclosed in a separate memorandum, signed by the party in the form of an affidavit. This will be tendered along with the encrypted CD/ DVD/ Medium in the Registry. The electronic record in the encrypted CD/ DVD/ Medium will be uploaded on the server of the Court by the Computer Section and kept in



an electronic folder which shall be labeled with the cause title, case number and the date of document uploaded on the server. Thereafter, the encrypted CD/ DVD/ Medium will be returned to the party on the condition that it shall be produced at the time of admission/denial of the documents and as and when directed by the Court/ Registrar. The memorandum disclosing the hash value shall be separately kept by the Registry on the file. The compliance with this rule will not be construed as dispensing with the compliance with any other law for the time being in force including Section 65B of the Indian Evidence Act, 1872.”

10. Registry may receive electronic record on CD-ROM/ DVD so long as it is encrypted with a hash value or in any other non-editable format. The video recording contained in CD-ROM be placed in the electronic record of the present suit in a format which is non-editable, so that the same can be viewed by the Court during hearing.

11. Application is disposed of.

CS(COMM) 449/2024

12. Let the plaint be registered as a suit.

13. Issue summons. Counsels mentioned in the appearance above, accept summons. Upon filing of process fee, issue summons to remaining Defendants by all permissible modes. Summons shall state that the written statement(s) shall be filed by the Defendants within 30 days from the date of receipt of summons. Along with the written statement(s), the Defendants shall also file affidavit(s) of admission/denial of the documents of the Plaintiffs, without which the written statement(s) shall not be taken on record.

14. Liberty is given to the Plaintiffs to file replication(s) within 15 days of the receipt of the written statement(s). Along with the replication(s), if any, filed by the Plaintiffs, affidavit(s) of admission/denial of documents of the



Defendants, be filed by the Plaintiffs, without which the replication(s) shall not be taken on record. If any of the parties wish to seek inspection of any documents, the same shall be sought and given within the timelines.

15. List before the Joint Registrar for marking of exhibits on 28th August, 2024. It is made clear that any party unjustifiably denying documents would be liable to be burdened with costs.

16. List before Court for framing of issues thereafter.

I.A. 30575/2024 (application on behalf of the Plaintiff under Order XXXIX Rule 1 & 2 read with Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 along with supporting affidavit)

17. The Plaintiffs have filed the present suit seeking *inter-alia* permanent injunction restraining the infringement of their intellectual property rights i.e., copyright in cinematograph film (the video of an interview), trademarks as well as personality rights- which are being infringed by various rogue websites (Defendant No.1-8 working/operating in conjunction with Defendant No. 9 and 10).

18. Plaintiff No.1, Network18 Media & Investments Limited (“Network18”), is diversified media and entertainment (M&E) conglomerates, with interests across television, digital content, filmed entertainment, e-commerce, print and allied businesses. Plaintiff No.2, TV18 Broadcast Limited, is a subsidiary of Plaintiff No.1 and is primarily engaged in the business of news broadcasting. Plaintiff No. 6 is the Managing Editor, Special Projects and senior anchor at CNN-News18. He writes extensively on Indian politics and sports and is a well-renowned journalist in India.

19. At the outset, Mr. Saikrishna Rajagopal, counsel for the Plaintiffs,




clarifies that, while the instant application also seeks protection of personality rights of Plaintiffs Nos. 3, 4, and 5, he is currently confining interim relief in respect of the personality rights of only Plaintiff No. 6, Mr. Anand Narasimhan, the anchor in the interview video at issue.

20. Additionally, Plaintiff No.1 and 2 also assert common law rights in



their mark “” and statutory rights in their registered mark

“” and its formative marks,² details of which are mentioned in paragraph no. 30 of the Plaint.³

21. Plaintiff No. 2 also asserts their copyright in an interview, which was communicated to the public through Plaintiff No. 1’s news platform “CNBC TV18” on its YouTube Channel. The content communicated to the public through YouTube Channel “CNBC TV18,” is owned and controlled by the Plaintiff No. 2. The interview is accessible to the public at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BhGxCx1d0e4>, which features Mr. Anand Mukesh Ambani, Director of Reliance Industries Ltd. in an exclusive interview with the Plaintiff No. 6 i.e. Mr. Anand Narasimhan, where he talks about his various projects, his love for wildlife and his dream project.⁴ Mr. Narasimhan’s Interview was uploaded on the “CNBC TV18” YouTube channel on 26th February, 2024 and has more than 3,67,000 views. One of the screenshots of the Interview is as follows:

² Collectively referred to as ‘TV18 marks’

³ Collectively referred to as Plaintiff’s marks.

⁴ Mr. Narasimhan’s Interview



22. As per Section 14 (d) (i) of the Copyright Act, 1957, only a copyright owner of the cinematograph film, has an exclusive right to make a copy of the film, including “a photograph of any image forming part thereof”. Asserting this right, Plaintiff No. 2 claims that the exclusive right to utilize and communicate Mr. Narasimhan’s Interview/photograph from the cinematograph film to the public is that of the Plaintiff No. 2.

23. Defendant Nos. 1 to 8 are rogue websites which contain a false article [titled “CNBC-TV18 management refuses to comment on the scandal surrounding its interview ‘Vantara(star of the forest)’”],⁵ about an Interview involving Mr. Anand Ambani and Plaintiff No. 6. The article on the websites describes a fake/made-up interview from the actual Interview of

⁵ Impugned article



Mr. Ambani with Plaintiff No. 6 uploaded on Plaintiff No.1's Youtube channel. Relevant screenshot of the impugned article is as follows:

The screenshot shows a BBC News article. The headline reads: "CNBC-TV18 management refuses to comment on the scandal surrounding its interview 'Vantara (Star of the Forest)'". Below the headline is a photograph of a man and a woman. The article is by Jane Waksfield. The text of the article is partially visible, mentioning a scandal during an interview with Anand Narasimhan and Anand Ambani. A second photograph shows a man and a woman in a studio setting.

24. The impugned article references and redirects to a cryptocurrency trading platform called "Everix Edge"/Defendant No.9 which allegedly helps in earning a passive income and offering astronomical returns. The impugned article details an interview of Mr. Ambani with Defendant No.6,



the contents of which are completely fake/made-up, where Mr. Ambani allegedly claims that anyone can make money through passive income on Defendant No. 9 Platform. The Impugned article quotes Mr. Ambani as claiming that anyone can register with Everix Edge with a minimum deposit of Rs. 26,000/- and transform this amount into Rs. 10,00,000/-, yielding a 4,000% return within a few months. To lend credibility to the article, it is falsely presented as being published by the BBC, the renowned public broadcaster and news agency of the United Kingdom.

25. Various links embedded in Defendant Nos. 1 to 4 and 6 to 8 websites redirect to a page containing the name of Defendant No. 9- Everix Edge platform. Further, the impugned article on Defendant No. 5 website redirects to Defendant No. 10 website- Immediate 7x Alex.

26. The screenshots of the redirected pages are as follows:





27. Furthermore, it is contended that through this impugned article, Defendant Nos.1 to 8 are infringing and passing off the Plaintiff's trademarks and also infringing Plaintiff No.2's copyright in the said video.

28. That apart, the Plaintiffs have also found URLs of Defendant No.1-8 websites being shared as "posts" uploaded by the users of Defendant No.11's platform "Facebook" and Defendant No. 12's platform "(X)".

29. It is evident from the screenshot extracted above that the impugned article contains a picture from the Mr. Narasimhan's interview in which Plaintiff No.2 holds copyright. The said article is *prima facie* defrauding and scamming the public by using the name, likeness, image and reputation of Plaintiff No.6, screengrab/screenshot of the interview in which the copyright is owned by the Plaintiff No.2, name of Plaintiff No.2's channel

"CNBCTV18", and the mark " " which is deceptively similar to



Plaintiff No.1 and 2's marks " " and TV18 marks

30. In light of the above, Court is satisfied that the Plaintiffs No.1, 2 and 6 have demonstrated a *prima-facie* case for infringement of Plaintiffs' TV18



marks and copyright, as well as passing off of the Plaintiff's mark



“ ”. Furthermore, the impugned article also *prima facie* violates the personality rights of Plaintiff No.6.

31. Accordingly, the following directions are issued:

a. Till the next date of hearing, owners of Defendants No.1-8 or anyone acting on their behalf, are restrained from infringing Plaintiff No. 1 and 2's TV18 marks and copyright in Mr. Narasimhan's interview and passing off



of the Plaintiff No.1 and 2's mark “ ”. They are also restrained from violating Plaintiff No.6's personality rights in Mr. Narasimhan's interview.

b. Defendants No. 13 to 17 [Domain Name Registrars] are directed to block/ suspend access to the respective domain names parked at websites mentioned in Annexure-A attached to this order. They shall, within four weeks from today, file in a sealed cover, the KYC details concerning the registrants of the aforesaid domain names, as are available with them. A copy of the said documents shall also be provided to counsel for Plaintiffs, which shall be strictly used by them for the purpose of investigation and identification of the perpetrators.

c. Defendant No. 18 (Domain name registrar) is directed to file in a sealed cover, the KYC details concerning the registrants of the domain name parked at website - <https://everixedge.com/> (Defendant No.9), as are available with them. A copy of the said documents shall also be provided to counsel



for Plaintiffs, which shall be strictly used by them for the purpose of investigation and identification of the perpetrators.

d. Defendant No.11 (Meta Platforms Inc.), is directed to block/ remove the Facebook post available at the URL identified in paragraph no. 70 of the plaint. They shall, within four weeks from today, file in a sealed cover, the complete details of the user who made the post, as are available with them. A copy of the said documents shall also be provided to counsel for Plaintiffs, which shall be strictly used by them for the purpose of investigation and identification of the perpetrators.

e. Defendant No.12(X Corp.), is directed to block/ remove the posts available at the URLs identified in paragraph no. 70 of the plaint. They shall, within four weeks from today, file in a sealed cover, the complete details of the users who made the posts, as are available with them. A copy of the said documents shall also be provided to counsel for Plaintiffs, which shall be strictly used by them for the purpose of investigation and identification of the perpetrators.

f. Defendants No. 27 and 28 [Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology and Department of Telecommunications, Ministry of Communications and Information Technology, respectively] are directed to issue necessary directions to the telecom service providers and internet service providers to the block websites mentioned in Annexure-A attached to this order.

g. In the event, Plaintiffs discover other mirror websites that contains the impugned article, they shall intimate the said URLs to concerned Defendant Nos. 13-17, who shall then block/ take down the same, in accordance with law. If the said Defendants come to the conclusion that the said URLs does



not contain content identical to the impugned article, they shall inform the same to the Plaintiff, who shall then apply to the court for necessary directions. Furthermore, if they come across social media posts (containing links to Defendant No.1-8 websites) they shall intimate the said URLs to concerned Defendant Nos. 11-12, who shall then block/ take down the same, in accordance with law.

32. Compliance of Order XXXIX Rule 3 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 be done within two weeks from today.

33. Issue notice. Counsel for Defendants mentioned in the appearance above, accept notice. Reply, if any, be filed within four weeks from today.

34. Upon filing of process fee, issue notice to the remaining Defendants, by all permissible modes, returnable on the next date of hearing. Reply, if any, be filed by the said Defendants within four weeks from the date of service. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within two weeks thereafter.

35. Renotify on 25th October, 2024.

SANJEEV NARULA, J

MAY 28, 2024/sapna



ANNEXURE A

<u>Domain Name Registrar (DNR)</u>	<u>Websites</u>
Defendant No. 13 (Squarespace Domains II LLC)	Defendant No. 1- https://brawlersfightclub.com
Defendant No. 14 (Hello Internet Corp)	Defendant No. 2- https://mihexa.com/
Defendant No. 15 (Name Cheap Inc.)	Defendant No. 5- https://escapekpom.com/ Defendant No. 7- https://militarymirrorng.com/ Defendant No. 8- https://thefinancemoney.com/
Defendant No. 16 (Godaddy.com LLC)	Defendant No. 3- https://infohomesecurity.com/ Defendant No. 4- https://streamanalyticsai.com/
Defendant No. 17 (Automattic Inc.)	Defendant No. 6- https://muschablaw.com/

Annexure

Subject: Action requested to be taken by MEITY and Plaintiff for effective removal of content for viewing by public at large within India as per the said orders of Hon'ble Court.

It is observed that a number of orders of Hon'ble Court are issued for blocking of websites every month. There are around more than 2700 ISPs in India and these ISPs are connected among themselves in a mesh network. DOT is instructing each of the ISPs through emails/through its website for blocking of the websites as ordered by the Hon'ble Courts. Ensuring compliance of the orders by each of the ISPs is a time-consuming and complex task especially in view of multiplicity of orders of Hon'ble Courts, multiplicity of websites to be blocked and multiplicity of ISPs.

2. Allocation of Business Rules inter-alia states thus:-

'Policy matters relating to information technology; Electronics; and Internet (all matters other than licensing of Internet Service Provider).'

3. In view of above and in order to ensure effective removal by content for viewing by public at large, the plaintiff is requested to do a trace route of the web server hosting the said website. In case the web server happens to be in India, the plaintiff may inform the same to Meity who may direct the owner of such web server to stop transmission of content as per IT Act and as directed by the Hon'ble Court so that the content would be blocked from the source itself and the exercise of blocking by 2700 ISPs would not be required.

4. In case such server is located abroad i.e. outside India then access to such URL/website can be blocked through the international internet gateways which are much less in number. This would result in timely and effectively removal of undesirable content for viewing by public at large as is the requirement as per the orders of Hon'ble Court.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

(Ordinary Original Commercial Jurisdiction)

C.S. (COMM.) NO. 449 of 2024

CODE-36017.01

IN THE MATTER OF:

Network18 Media & Investments Limited & Ors

...PLAINTIFFS

Versus

<https://brawlersfightclub.com/> & Ors.

...DEFENDANTS

AMENDED MEMO OF PARTIES

1) Network18 Media & Investments Limited

First Floor, Empire Complex,

414-Senapati Bapat Marg,

Lower Parel, Mumbai-400013

Maharashtra, India

Email: investors.n18@nw18.com

[Phone: 0120-6401500](tel:0120-6401500)

...Plaintiff No. 1

2) Anuj Singhal

C/o First Floor, Empire Complex,

414-Senapati Bapat Marg,

Lower Parel, Mumbai-400013

Maharashtra, India

Email: Investors.tv18@nw18.com;

investors.n18@nw18.com

Also at,
TV18 Broadcast Limited,
Tower 'E',
Floor No.18, SkyMarkOne, Sector 98,
Plot No. H-10/A, Noida,
Gautam Buddha Nagar-201301, U.P.
Phone: 0120-6401500

...Plaintiff No. 2

- 3) Shereen Bhan
C/oFirst Floor, Empire Complex,
414-Senapati Bapat Marg,
Lower Parel, Mumbai-400013
Maharashtra, India
Email: Investors.tv18@nw18.com;
investors.n18@nw18.com

Also at,

TV18 Broadcast Limited,
Tower 'E',
Floor No.18, SkyMarkOne, Sector 98,
Plot No. H-10/A, Noida,
Gautam Buddha Nagar-201301, U.P.
Phone: 0120-6401500

...Plaintiff No. 3

- 4) Palki Sharma
C/OFirst Floor, Empire Complex,
414-Senapati Bapat Marg,
Lower Parel, Mumbai-400013

Maharashtra, India

Email: Investors.tv18@nw18.com;

investors.n18@nw18.com

Also at,

TV18 Broadcast Limited,

Tower 'E',

Floor No.18, SkyMarkOne, Sector 98,

Plot No. H-10/A, Noida,

Gautam Buddha Nagar-201301, U.P.

Phone: 0120-6401500

...Plaintiff No. 4

5) Anand Narasimhan

C/OFirst Floor, Empire Complex,

414-Senapati Bapat Marg,

Lower Parel, Mumbai-400013

Maharashtra, India

Email: Investors.tv18@nw18.com;

investors.n18@nw18.com

Also at,

TV18 Broadcast Limited,

Tower 'E',

Floor No.18, SkyMarkOne, Sector 98,

Plot No. H-10/A, Noida,

Gautam Buddha Nagar-201301, U.P.

Phone: 0120-6401500

...Plaintiff No. 5

Versus

- 1) <https://brawlersfightclub.com/>
...Defendant No. 1

- 2) <https://mihexa.com/>
... Defendant No. 2

- 3) <https://infohomesecurity.com/>
teams infohomesecurity
House # 222/10 - C street # 11B Mohala rehmat pura kot
khawaja saeed, Lahore, Punjab, Pakistan – 54000
Phone: +92.3044651512
Email: info.home0323@gmail.com
... Defendant No. 3

- 4) <https://streamanalyticsai.com/>
Abhishek Jawla
Shop No - 13, first floor, Jaat colony, railway road kandhla,
near choti nahar, Shamli, Uttar Pradesh, India- 247775
Phone: +91.9690775071
Email: abhishekjawla.1@gmail.com
...Defendant No. 4

- 5) <https://escapekpom.com/>
Alex Trautman
OOO Street Sale
Kiev, Ukraine – 01001
Phone: +380 938106613

Email: alekstr007@mail.ru

... Defendant No. 5

6) <https://muschablaw.com/>

Mustafa Yigit

Istanbul Kadikoy, Istanbul, Kadikoy- 34716, Türkiye

Phone Number: +90.5393705791

Email Address: founder@ypdijital.com

... Defendant No. 6

7) <https://militarymirrorng.com/>

Abdulazeez Kareem

Rimfrostgatan 63, Goteborg, NA, Sweden, 41840

Phone: +46 760194196

Email: azmajpress@gmail.com

... Defendant No. 7

8) <https://thefinancemoney.com/>

Ashwin S

Gadipura, Harda, Madhya Pradesh, 461331

Phone: +91 8236023069

Email: seo.ashwin23@gmail.com

... Defendant No. 8

9) <https://everixedge.com/>

Host Master

1337 Services LLC

P.O. Box 590, Charlestown, St Kitts & Nevis

Phone: +1.6282511337

Email: seo.ashwin23@gmail.com;

support@everixedge.com

... Defendant No. 9

10) Immediate 7X Alrex

WeWork 22 Cross Street:

22 Cross Street, #02-01 China Square Central,

Singapore 048421.

...Defendant No.10

11) Meta Platforms Inc.

1601, Willow Road Menlo Park,

California -94025, United States of America,

Also, at:

216, Okhla Industrial Estate, Phase III

New Delhi – 110020

E-mail ID: FBGOIndia@fb.com, records@fb.com

... Defendant No. 11

- 12) X Corp.
Suite 900, 1355 Market Street,
San Francisco,
California, 94103
Email: legalrequests@twitter.com
... Defendant No. 12
- 13) Squarespace Domains II LLC
225 Varick Street, 12th Floor
New York- 10014
Email: abuse-complaints@squarespace.com
... Defendant No. 13
- 14) Hello Internet Corp
379 West Broadway
Ofc 339. New York, NY-10012
Email: support@hello.co; abuse@hello.co
... Defendant No. 14
- 15) Name Cheap Inc.
A Delaware Corporation, having
its registered office at
4600 E Washington ST,
#305 Phoenix, Arizona-85034
Email: support@namecheap.com;
abuse@namecheap.com;
grievance.officer@namecheap.com
... Defendant No. 15

16) GODADDY.COM LLC,

14455 North Hayden road, Suite 219,

Scottsdale,AZ 85260,

United States

Also, At:

GoDaddy India Web Services Private Limited

003, Tower 4A, DLF Corporate Park,

MG Road Gurgaon – 122002

E-mail ID: legal@godaddy.com; abuse@godaddy.com;

grievanceofficer@godaddy.com

...Defendant No. 16

17) Automattic Inc.

60 29th Street #343

San Francisco, CA 94110

United States of America.

E-mail ID: domainabuse@automattic.com

...Defendant No. 17

18) Tucows Domains Inc.

96 Mowat Avenue, Toronto,

Ontario, M6K 3M1, Canada

Email ID: info@tucows.com

...Defendant No. 18

19) Vodafone Idea Limited

Vodafone House,

Peninsula Corporate Park,

Ganpatrao Kadam Marg,

Lower Parel, Mumbai - 400 013 India

Also, At:

Birla Centurion,

10th Floor, Plot no.794,

B Wing, Pandurang Budhkar Marg,

Worli, Mumbai - 400 030 India

E-mail: florencia.depores@vodafoneidea.com,

smitha.Menon@vodafoneidea.com,

pankaj.kapdeo@vodafoneidea.com,

radhika.gokhale@vodafoneidea.com

...Defendant No. 19

20) Bharti Airtel Ltd.

Airtel Centre, Tower-A, 6th floor

'A' Wing, Plot No.16, Udyog Vihar

Ph - IV, Gurgaon – 122016

E-mail: amit.bhatia@airtel.com

...Defendant No. 20

21) Atria Convergence Technologies Pvt. Ltd. 99A/113A,

Manorayana Palya

R.T. Nagar Bangalore – 560032

Also, At:

2nd and 3rd Floor, No. 1,

Indian Express Building, Queen's Road, Bangalore

560001 Karnataka

E-mail: nodal.term@actcorp.in;
jitesh.chathambil@actcorp.in

...Defendant No. 21

- 22) Bharat Sanchar Nigam Ltd.
Bharat Sanchar Bhawan,
Regulation Cell
5th floor, Harish Chandra Mathur Lane
Janpath, New Delhi -110001

E-mail: ddg_reg@bsnl.co.in,
sbkhare@bsnl.co.in, averma@bsnl.co.in

...Defendant No. 22

- 23) Hathway Cable & Datacom Pvt. Ltd.
'Rahejas',4 floor, Main Avenue
Santacruz (W), Mumbai-400054
Email: ajay.singh@hathway.net; dulal@hathway.net;
sudhir.shetye@hathway.net

...Defendant No. 23

- 24) Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Ltd.
5th Floor, Mahanagar Doorsanchar Sadan
9, CGO Complex, Lodhi Road

New Delhi – 110003
E-mail: raco.mtnl@gmail.com;
mtnlcsco@gmail.com
gmracomtnl@gmail.com

...Defendant No. 24

- 25) Shyam Spectra Pvt. Ltd.
Plot No. 258, Okhla Industrial Estate, Phase III,
New Delhi – 110020

Also, at:

Plot No. 21-22, 3rd Floor
Udyog Vihar, Phase IV, Gurugram -122015
E-mail: info@spectra.co; compliance@spectra.co

...Defendant No. 25

- 26) Tata Teleservices Ltd.
A, E & F Blocks Voltas Premises - T. B. Kadam Marg
Chinchpokli, Mumbai – 400033

E-mail: pravin.jogani@tatatel.co.in;
anand.dalal@tatatel.co.in; satya.yadav@tatatel.co.in

...Defendant No. 26

- 27) Department Of Telecommunications
Through Secretary,
Ministry of Communications and IT,
20, Sanchar Bhawan, Ashoka Road,
New Delhi – 110001

E-mail ID: secy-dot@nic.in, dirids2-dot@nic.in

...Defendant No. 27

- 28) Ministry Of Electronics And Information Technology
Through the Director General (DIT) Cyber Laws & e-
security),
Electronics Niketan, 6, CGO Complex,
Lodi Road, New Delhi – 110003

E-mail ID: cyberlaw@meity.gov.in;
gccyberlaws@meity.gov.in;
pkumar@meity.gov.in, sathya.s@meity.gov.in.

...Defendant No. 28

- 29) Ashok Kumar (S)

...Defendant No. 29

- 30) <https://hasucuztaksi.com/>
abuse-complaints@squarespace.com

...Defendant No. 30