

BY EMAIL & DoT website

**Government of India
Ministry of Communications
Department of Telecommunications
Sanchar Bhawan, 20, Ashoka Road, New Delhi - 110 001
(Data Services Cell)**

No. 813-07/LM-39/2024-DS-II

Dated:02-01-2025

To,

All the Internet Service Licensees

Subject: CS (COMM) 837 of 2024: Star India Pvt. Ltd versus MovieBlast Application And Ors. before the High Court of Delhi

Kindly find enclosed the Hon'ble Delhi High Court order dated **26.09.2024** and **3rd** additional affidavit on the subject matter.

2. Please refer to the **para 43 and 44** of the said court order in respect of blocking of **websites** enumerated in the **Annexure A** of affidavit.

3. In view of the above, all the Internet Service licensees are hereby instructed to take immediate necessary action for blocking of the said website, as above, for compliance of the said court order.

Digitally signed by

Shashi Kumar

Date: 02-01-2025 10:37:37

Director (DS-II)

Email: dirds2-dot@nic.in

Encl:A/A

Copy to:

- i. Sh. V. Chinnasamy, Scientist E (chinnasamy.v@meity.gov.in), Electronics Niketan, Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MeitY) New Delhi for kind information and with request to take action as per **Annexure**.
- ii. Shri V. Kumar <v.kumar@saikrishnaassociates.com> Plaintiff Advocate for kind information.[Requested to take action as per Annexure]
- iii. IT wing of DoT for uploading on DoT websites please.



\$~35

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 837/2024**

STAR INDIA PVT. LTD.

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Sidharth Chopra, Mr. Yatinder Garg, Ms. S. Jauhari and Mr. Vivek Kumar, Advocates.

versus

MOVIEBLAST APPLICATION AND ORS

.....Defendants

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

% **26.09.2024**

I.A. 40567/2024 (for exemption from certified copies of dim annexures)

1. Allowed, subject to the plaintiff filing legible copies of the annexures within four weeks from today.
2. The application is disposed of.

I.A. 40566/2024 (u/S 12A of Commercial Courts Act)

3. As the present suit contemplates urgent interim relief, in light of the judgment of the Supreme Court in *Yamini Manohar v. T.K.D. Krithi*, 2023 SCC Online SC 1382, exemption from the requirement of pre-institution mediation is granted.
4. The application stands disposed of.



I.A. 40568/2024 (for exemption from advance service to Defendants)

5. The present application has been filed on behalf of the plaintiff seeking exemption from advance service to defendants No. 10 (Bharat Sanchar Nigam Ltd.), 13 (Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Ltd.), 18 (Department of Telecommunications) & 19 (Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology) under Section 80 of the Civil Procedure Code, 1908 (CPC).

6. In view of the urgent *ex parte* relief sought, the plaintiff is granted exemption from the requirement of issuing notice to the defendants no. 10, 13, 18 and 19 under Section 80 of the CPC.

7. The application stands disposed of.

I.A. 40569/2024 (O-XI R-1(4) of the Commercial Courts Act)

8. The present application has been filed on behalf of the plaintiff seeking leave to file additional documents under the Commercial Courts Act, 2015.

9. The plaintiff are permitted to file additional documents in accordance with the provisions of the Commercial Courts Act, 2015.

10. Accordingly, the application is disposed of.

CS(COMM) 837/2024

11. Let the plaint be registered as a suit.

12. Issue summons.

13. Summons be issued to the defendants through all modes. The summons shall state that the written statement(s) shall be filed by the defendants within thirty days from the date of the receipt of summons. Along with the written statement(s), the defendants shall also file an affidavit of admission/denial of the documents of the plaintiff, without



which the written statement(s) shall not be taken on record.

14. Liberty is given to the plaintiff to file replication(s), if any, within thirty days from the receipt of the written statement(s). Along with the replication(s) filed by the plaintiff, affidavit of admission/denial of the documents of the defendants be filed by the plaintiff.

15. The parties shall file all original documents in support of their respective claims along with their respective pleadings. In case parties are placing reliance on a document, which is not in their power and possession, its detail and source shall be mentioned in the list of reliance, which shall also be filed with the pleadings.

16. If any of the parties wish to seek inspection of any documents, the same shall be sought and given within the timelines.

17. List before the Joint Registrar on 4th December, 2024 for completion of service and pleadings.

18. List before the Court on 27th February, 2025.

I.A. 40565/2024 (O-XXXIX Rule 1 & 2 of CPC)

25. The present suit has been filed for permanent injunction, rendition of accounts and damages for the infringement of the exclusive rights in the plaintiff's original content/work, which is protected under the Copyright Act, 1957, against defendants no.1-3 which are rogue apps and websites that substantially indulge in online piracy by making original content available for download and otherwise providing access to infringing and illegal content.

26. It is averred in the plaint that the Plaintiff is a leading entertainment and media company in India engaged in, *inter alia*, the production of popular content broadcast on its STAR channels. The Plaintiff is also an



exclusive right owner for several works broadcasted on its STAR channels. Plaintiff, along with its affiliates, is the owner of an extensive portfolio of more than 70 channels in over nine languages (all channels owned and/or distributed by Plaintiff are hereinafter collectively referred to as “STAR Channels”). Plaintiff owns and operates the online audio-visual streaming platform and website, ‘www.hotstar.com’, and the mobile application, ‘Disney+ Hotstar’, formerly known as ‘Hotstar’ (hereinafter collectively referred to as “Disney+ Hotstar”). With the widest range of content in India, Disney+ Hotstar offers over 100,000 hours of TV shows and movies across 8 languages, Disney+ originals, latest American shows, blockbuster Hollywood movies and content from international studios, exclusive new content from the Hotstar Specials label, regional and national news, and coverage of every major global sporting event.

27. Plaintiff claims to have exclusive rights in the aforesaid works by virtue of provision of Section 14(d) of the Copyright Act, 1957 including inter alia the rights to publicly exhibit and communicate the said content through any medium or mode, including on STAR Channels or Disney+ Hotstar. It is pleaded that by virtue of the exclusive media rights granted to the Plaintiff, they broadcast, telecast and/or communicate to the public, its Original Shows / Original Content and the STAR Channels on the digital platform, Disney+ Hotstar, owned by the Plaintiff.

28. It is contended that defendants no. 1 to 3, (“defendant websites and apps”) are online locations and mobile applications whose purpose is to communicate, make available for viewing and providing access to content of the Plaintiff free of cost (or at minimal subscription) and without any authorization. These Rogue Apps are completely illegal mobile applications



and have no permission or authorisation to reproduce, store, transmit, communicate, make available for viewing or provide access to any of the Plaintiff's Content. It is also submitted that most of the content communicated through these Rogue Apps does not belong to them. It is also contended that the download, distribution and use of these Android based Rogue Apps, occurs through an .APK ("Android Package Kit" / "Android Application Package"). The primary intent and purpose of these Rogue Apps is to exploit various copyright-protected works, of the Plaintiff's content and to provide an alternative to legitimate sources to the user, such that the user does not have to pay for enjoying the copyright-protected works. An illustrative list of illegal content made available by the defendant websites has been provided in paragraph 9 of the plaint.

29. In order to protect and enforce their exclusive rights, the plaintiff investigated and monitored the defendant's Rogue Apps/UIs/Domains/websites and gathered evidence of their infringing activities, which has been filed along with the suit.

30. It is further contended that despite the legal notice calling upon the concerned defendants to cease from engaging in their infringing activities, they continue to infringe the rights in the plaintiff's original content.

31. In light of the above, it is contended that the defendants nos. 1 to 3 are liable for infringement of the plaintiff's copyright works under Section 51(a)(ii), Section 51(b) and Section 51(a)(i) of the Act, for making a copy of the original content, including storing of it in any medium by electronic or other means and communicating the original content to the public the hosting, streaming, reproducing, distributing, making available to the public, and/or communicating to the public of the original content for streaming and



downloading, or facilitating the same, without authorization of the plaintiff. In support of the aforesaid contention, reliance is placed on the decision of this court in CS(COMM) 724 of 2017 dated 10th April, 2019 titled ***UTV Software Communication Ltd. &Anr. v. 1337x.to and Ors.***

32. It is further contended by the plaintiff's counsel that the creators of the defendants no. 1-3 Rogue Apps are anonymous and the information provided in the public domain regarding the owners of their related websites is either incomplete, incorrect and/or protected behind a veil of secrecy. These Rogue Apps/UIs/Domains/websites hide behind domain privacy services offered by various domain name Registrars, which enable a website owner to hide behind a veil and not disclose any contact details publicly, to protect his privacy. Defendants no. 4 (Name.com, Inc.), 5 (Spaceship, Inc.), 6 (Hostinger Operations, UAB), 7 (Name Cheap Inc.), 8 (Go Daddy LLC) are domain name registrars providing domain name registration to the defendants 1-3.

33. In order to overcome this, the plaintiff has arrayed various internet and telecom services providers (ISPs) as the defendants no. 9-17 (hereinafter "the said ISPs"), DoT as the defendant no. 18, MEITY as the defendant no. 19 in the present suit to ensure the effective implementation of orders passed by this Court.

34. Issue notice

35. Notice be issued to the defendants through all modes.

36. Reply(ies) be filed within four weeks.

37. Rejoinder(s) thereto, if any, be filed two weeks thereafter.

38. In view of the averments noted hereinabove and in view of the judgment passed in ***UTV Software Communication Ltd. (supra)***, this Court



is of the opinion that a prima facie case is made out in favour of the plaintiff. Balance of convenience is also in favour of the plaintiff. Further, irreparable harm or injury would be caused to the plaintiff if an interim injunction order is not passed.

39. Accordingly, defendants No. 1 to 3 (and any such other UIs/websites which appears to be associated with any of the defendant apps and websites based on its name, branding or the identity of its operator, or discovered to provide additional means of accessing, the defendant apps and websites, and other domains/domain/apps/UIs along with their sub domains and subdirectories, owners/website operators/entities which are discovered to have been engaging in infringing the plaintiff's exclusive rights), their owners, partners, proprietors, officers, servants, employees, and all others in capacity of principals or agents, acting for and on their behalf, or anyone claiming through, by or under them are hereby restrained, from, in any manner hosting, streaming, reproducing, distributing, making available to the public and/or communicating to the public, or facilitating the same, on their Apps/UIs/websites, through the internet in any manner whatsoever, any cinematograph work/content/programme/show in relation to which plaintiff has a copyright.

40. The defendants No. 4 to 8 shall ensure compliance with this order by blocking defendants no. 1 to 3 websites, their URLs and the respective UIs as filed along with the suit (Pg 1 - Vol 1) (also annexed herewith as annexure - A) and any other UIs/apps/websites notified by the plaintiff by filing of an affidavit.

41. The defendants no. 4 to 8, their directors, partners, proprietors, officers, affiliates, servants, employees, and all others in capacity of



principal or agent acting for and, on their behalf, or anyone claiming through, by or under it, are directed to disclose the following information of the defendants no. 1 -3 (and any such other websites/UIs which are discovered during the course of the proceedings and notified on Affidavit by the plaintiff to have been infringing/ authorizing the infringement of the plaintiff's exclusive rights, copyrights and broadcast reproduction rights):

A. Complete details (such as name, address, email address, phone number, IP address etc.) of the defendants no. 1 - 3 (and any such other websites/UIs which are discovered during the course of the proceedings and notified on Affidavit by the plaintiff to have been infringing/ authorizing the infringement of the plaintiff's exclusive rights, copyrights).

B. Mode of payment along with payment details used for registration of domain name by the registrant i.e., defendant no. 1 – 3 (and any such other websites/UIs which are discovered during the course of the proceedings and notified on Affidavit by the plaintiff to have been infringing/ authorizing the infringement of the plaintiff's exclusive rights, copyrights).

42. The defendants No. 9 to 17 shall ensure compliance with this order by blocking defendants no. 1 to 3 websites, their URLs and the respective UIs as filed along with the suit (Pg 1 - Vol 1) (also annexed herewith as annexure - A) and any other apps/websites notified by the plaintiff by filing of an affidavit.

43. Defendants no. 18 and 19 are further directed to take immediate steps and issue requisite notifications within five working days, calling upon



various internet and telecom service providers registered under them to block the aforementioned websites/UIs identified by the plaintiff.

44. Further, the plaintiff shall, within one week of having filed such an affidavit, move an appropriate application for impleadment of the aforementioned websites/UIs notified by the plaintiff.

45. Compliance under Order XXXIX Rule 3 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (CPC) be done within one week from today.

46. List before the Joint Registrar on 4th December, 2024 for completion of service and pleadings.

47. List before the Court on 27th February, 2025.

AMIT BANSAL, J

SEPTEMBER 26, 2024

kd



ANNEXURE - A

LIST OF WEBSITES / DOMAINS PROVIDING ACCESS TO THE .APK
FILES OF ROGUE APPS

S.NO	WEBSITES
1.	https://movieblast.rocks/
2.	https://movieshubapk.com/
3.	https://novatv.app/

LIST OF DOMAINS PROVIDING USER INTERFACE (UI) FOR
FUNCTIONING OF THE ROGUE APPS

S.NO	WEBSITES
1.	mb.movieblast.rocks
2.	movapp.techmirrorhublins.site
3.	https://teatv.xyz

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
(ORDINARY ORIGINAL COMMERCIAL JURISDICTION)
CS (COMM.) NO. OF 2024

IN THE MATTER OF:
Star India Pvt. Ltd.

....Plaintiff

versus

MovieBlast Application and Ors.

...Defendants

To,

1. MovieBlast Application

Related domains:

movieblast.rocks ; mb.movieblast.rocks ;

Email: support@movieblast.live; abuse@name.com;

abuse@spectraip.nl

2. Movies Hub Application

Related domains:

movieshubapk.com; movapp.techmirrorhublinks.site ;

Email: abuse@spaceship.com; abuse@spectraip.nl;

abuse@hostinger.com

3. Nova TV Application

Related domains:

novatv.app; https://teatv.xyz

Email: novatvapk@gmail.com; abuse@namecheap.com ;

abuse@godaddy.com;

4. Name.com, Inc.
PO Box 1769, Denver, Colorado, 80201, United States
Phone: +1.7208009072
Email: abuse@name.com

5. Spaceship, Inc.
4600 East Washington Street,
Suite 300, Phoenix, AZ 85034, USA
Email: support@spaceship.com ; abuse@spaceship.com;

6. Hostinger Operations, UAB
Jonavos str. 60C, 44192
Kaunas, Lithuania
Email: abuse@hostinger.com

7. Name Cheap Inc.
Module 403, Tidel Park
Coimbatore Ltd Elcosez Aerodrom
Coimbatore, TN - 641014
Email: legalandabuse@namecheap.com; support@namecheap.com,
grievance.officer@namecheap.com ; abuse@namecheap.com

8. Go Daddy LLC,
GoDaddy East, LLC
Abuse Department
2155 East GoDaddy Way.
Tempe, AZ 85284 USA
Email: RyPrimaryContact@godaddy.com; abuse@godaddy.com

9. Atria Convergence Technologies Private Limited

99A/113A, Manorayana Palya

R.T. Nagar Bangalore – 560032

Also, at:

2nd and 3rd Floor, No. 1,

Indian Express Building, Queen's Road,

Bangalore – 560001, Karnataka

Email: nodal.term@actcorp.in, nodalofficer.ncr@actcorp.in,

jitesh.chathambil@actcorp.in

10. Bharat Sanchar Nigam Ltd

Bharat Sanchar Bhawan, Regulation Cell

5th floor, Harish Chandra Mathur Lane

Janpath, New Delhi -110001

E-mail: ddg_reg@bsnl.co.in, sbkhare@bsnl.co.in, averma@bsnl.co.in,

sushmamishra71@gmail.com

11. Bharti Airtel Ltd.

Airtel Center Plot No. 16,

Udyog Vihar, Phase-IV, Gurugram – 122015, India

E-mail: amit.bhatia@airtel.com

12. Hathway Cable & Datacom Pvt. Ltd.

'Rahejas', 4 floor, Main Avenue

Santacruz (W), Mumbai-40054

E-mail: ajay.singh@hathway.net, dulal@hathway.net,

sudhir.shetye@hathway.net

13. Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Ltd.
5th Floor, Mahanagar Doorsanchar Sadan
9, CGO Complex, Lodhi Road
New Delhi – 110003
E-mail: raco.mtnl@gmail.com, mtnlcsco@gmail.com,
gmracomtnl@gmail.com

14. Reliance Jio Infocomm Limited
Corporate Office:5th Floor, Maker Chambers IV,
222, Nariman Point, Mumbai – 400 021
Maharashtra, India
Tel: +91-22-44770000
E-mail: jio.investorrelations@ril.com
care@jio.com, Hitesh.marthak@relianceada.com,
Kapoor.guliani@ril.com, mahipal.singh@ril.com,
sunil.kr.gupta@ril.com, shilpi.kant@ril.com,
jyoti.jain@ril.com, rudraksha.sinha@ril.com,
neelakantan.an@ril.com

15. Shyam Spectra Pvt. Ltd.
Plot No. 258,
Okhla Industrial Estate, Phase III,
New Delhi – 110020

Also, at:

Plot No. 21-22, 3rd Floor,
Udyog Vihar, Phase IV,
Gurugram -122015

E-mail: info@spectra.co, compliance@spectra.co

16. Tata Teleservices Ltd.
A, E & F Blocks Voltas Premises - T. B. Kadam Marg
Chinchpokli, Mumbai – 400033
E-mail: pravin.jogani@tatatel.co.in, anand.dalal@tatatel.co.in,
satya.yadav@tatatel.co.in

17. Vodafone Idea Limited
Vodafone House,
Peninsula Corporate Park,
Ganpatrao Kadam Marg,
Lower Parel, Mumbai - 400 013 India

Also, at:

Birla Centurion,
10th Floor, Plot no.794,
B Wing, Pandurang Budhkar Marg,
Worli, Mumbai - 400 030 India
E-mail: smitha.menon@vodafoneidea.com,
pankaj.kapdeo@vodafoneidea.com,
Radhika.gokhale@vodafoneidea.com,
sheena.thukral@vodafoneidea.com,
lavati.sairam@vodafoneidea.com
Florencia.deproses@vodafoneidea.com
Sanjeet.sarkar@vodafoneidea.com
Arun.madhav@vodafoneidea.com

18. Department of Telecommunications
Through Secretary,

Ministry of Communications and IT,
20, Sanchar Bhawan, Ashoka Road,
New Delhi – 110001
E-mail: secy-dot@nic.in, dirids2-dot@nic.in

19. Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology
Through the Director General (DIT) Cyber Laws & e-security),
Electronics Niketan, 6, CGO Complex,
Lodi Road, New Delhi – 110003
E-mail: cyberlaw-legal@meity.gov.in; gccyberlaw@meity.gov.in;
pkumar@meity.gov.in, sathya.s@meity.gov.in
20. Ashok Kumar(s)

...Defendants

Dear Sir/Ma'am,

The enclosed suit is being filed on behalf of the Plaintiff and is likely to be listed on 26th September 2024 or any day thereafter.

Please take notice accordingly.

Yours truly,

Yatinder Garg | Snehima Jauhari | Vivek Kumar
(D/1330/2015) | (D/2733/2017) | (D/7260/2023)

Saikrishna & Associates
Counsels for the Plaintiff

57, Jor Bagh, New Delhi- 110003
+919667960678

v.kumar@saikrishnaassociates.com

Place: New Delhi

Date: 21ST September 2024

Annexure

Subject: Action requested to be taken by MEITY and Plaintiff for effective removal of content for viewing by public at large within India as per the said orders of Hon'ble Court.

It is observed that a number of orders of Hon'ble Court are issued for blocking of websites every month. There are around more than 2700 ISPs in India and these ISPs are connected among themselves in a mesh network. DOT is instructing each of the ISPs through emails/through its website for blocking of the websites as ordered by the Hon'ble Courts. Ensuring compliance of the orders by each of the ISPs is a time-consuming and complex task especially in view of multiplicity of orders of Hon'ble Courts, multiplicity of websites to be blocked and multiplicity of ISPs.

2. Allocation of Business Rules inter-alia states thus:-

'Policy matters relating to information technology; Electronics; and Internet (all matters other than licensing of Internet Service Provider).'

3. In view of above and in order to ensure effective removal by content for viewing by public at large, the plaintiff is requested to do a trace route of the web server hosting the said website. In case the web server happens to be in India, the plaintiff may inform the same to Meity who may direct the owner of such web server to stop transmission of content as per IT Act and as directed by the Hon'ble Court so that the content would be blocked from the source itself and the exercise of blocking by 2700 ISPs would not be required.

4. In case such server is located abroad i.e. outside India then access to such URL/website can be blocked through the international internet gateways which are much less in number. This would result in timely and effectively removal of undesirable content for viewing by public at large as is the requirement as per the orders of Hon'ble Court.