

BY EMAIL & DoT website

**Government of India
Ministry of Communications
Department of Telecommunications
Sanchar Bhawan, 20, Ashoka Road, New Delhi - 110 001
(Data Services Cell)**

No. 813-07/LM-66/2024-DS-II

Dated:23-01-2025

To,

All the Internet Service Licensees

Subject: CS (COMM) 1198 of 2024 SAIF HONG KONG HOLDINGS LTD. AND ANR. Versus John Doe/ Ashok Kumar and Ors. Before the High Court of Delhi

Kindly find enclosed the Hon'ble Delhi High Court order dated **24.12.2024** on the subject matter.

2. Please refer to the **para 46** of the said court order in respect of blocking of **websites** enumerated in the Document-A attached with the said order.
3. In view of the above, all the Internet Service licensees are hereby instructed to take immediate necessary action for blocking of the said website, as above, for compliance of the said court order.

Digitally signed by

Shashi Kumar

Date: 23-01-2025 18:42:54

**Director (DS-II)
Email: dirds2-dot@nic.in**

Encl: A/A

Copy to:

- i. DGT for Necessary action w.r.t blocking of Mobile Nos. (as per para 46)
- ii. DDG(AS) for kind information
- iii. Sh. V. Chinnasamy, Scientist E (chinnasamy.v@meity.gov.in), Electronics Niketan, Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MeitY) New Delhi for kind information and with request to take action as per **Annexure**.
- iv. Sh. Sumit Nagpal <mail@sumitnagpal.in> Plaintiff Advocate for kind information. [Requested to take action as per Annexure].
- v. IT wing of DoT for uploading on DoT websites please.



\$~13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CS(COMM) 1198/2024 & I.A. 49759-49764/2024

SAIF HONG KONG HOLDINGS LTD. AND ANR.Plaintiffs

Through: Ms. Shwetasree Majumder, Ms. Priya Adlakha, Ms. Sucharu Garg, Ms. Shilpi Sinha, Advocates.

versus

JOHN DOE/ ASHOK KUMAR AND ORSDefendants

Through: Ms. Mrinal Ojha, Mr. Debarshi Dutta, Mr. Arjun Mookhrjee, Ms. Nikita Rathi, Advocates for D-2.

Mr. Sumit Nagpal, Ms. Aastha Sood, Ms. Megha Negi, Advocates for D-8 and 9.

Mr. Tejas Karia, Mr. Shashank Mishra, Ms. Swati Agarwal, Mr. Akshi Rastogi, Mr. Vaarish K. Sawlani, Advocates for D-10.

Mr. Sarfaraz Khan, Mr. Mirza Amir Baig and Mr. Abdul Wahid Mashaal, Advocates for D-12.

Ms. Praveena Gautam, Mr. Pawan Shukla, Ms. Atissy Annie Thomos, Ms. Akanksha Tyagi, Advocates for D-13.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER
24.12.2024

%

I.A. 49760/2024 (u/s 12A of Commercial Courts Act)

1. As the present suit contemplates urgent interim relief, in light of the judgment of the Supreme Court in *Yamini Manohar v. T.K.D. Krithi*, 2023

CS(COMM) 1198/2024

Page 1 of 14

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 02/01/2025 at 12:44:03



SCC Online SC 1382, exemption from the requirement of pre-institution mediation is granted.

2. The application stands disposed of.

I.A. 49761/2024 (O-XI R-1(4) of the Commercial Courts Act)

3. The present application has been filed on behalf of the plaintiffs seeking leave to file additional documents under the Commercial Courts Act, 2015.

4. The plaintiffs are permitted to file additional documents in accordance with the provisions of the Commercial Courts Act, 2015 and the Delhi High Court (Original Side) Rules, 2018.

5. Accordingly, the application is disposed of.

I.A. 49762/2024 (seeking service of summons and notice upon defendants no. 2 to 5 via e-mail and exemption of service through other modes)

6. This application has been filed under Order V Rule 9 of Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 ('CPC') seeking service of summons in the suit and notice in the applications upon Defendants no.3 to 5 *via* e-mail and exemption of service through other modes.

7. It is prayed by the plaintiffs that the summons may be issued in the suit and notice in the application under Order XXXIX Rules 1 and 2 of CPC to defendants no. 3 and 5, being DNRs, through their designated Grievance Officers *via* e-mail and the plaintiff be exempted from serving the aforesaid defendants through other modes of service.

8. In respect of defendant no.4(DNR) who is located outside India i.e. defendants and has not appointed their Grievance Officer in India, the plaintiffs seek issuance of summons in the suit and notice in the applications through e-mail alone.

9. For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed. Summons

CS(COMM) 1198/2024

Page 2 of 14

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 02/01/2025 at 12:44:03



in the suit and notice in the applications to the aforesaid defendants shall be issued in the manner indicated hereinabove.

I.A. 49763/2024 (exemption from filing originals, clear copies and documents with proper margins)

10. Subject to the plaintiffs' filing the original/ translated/ legible copies of the documents and physical copy of the suit papers on which the plaintiffs may seek to place reliance, within four weeks from today, exemption is granted for the present.

11. The application is disposed of.

I.A. 49764/2024 (for exemption from advance service upon defendant no.1)

12. The present application has been filed on behalf of the plaintiffs seeking exemption from advance service to the defendant no. 1.

13. In view of the urgent *ex parte* relief sought by the plaintiff against the defendant no.1, the plaintiff is exempted from serving the advance copy to the defendant.

14. The application is accordingly allowed.

CS(COMM) 1198/2024

15. Let the plaint be registered as a suit.

16. Issue summons.

17. Summons are accepted by the counsel appearing on behalf of the defendants no. 2, 8, 9, 10, 12 and 13 who waive issuance of formal summons.

18. Summons be issued to the defendants no.3 and 4, being DNRs, through their designated Grievance Officers *via* e-mail alone. Summons be issued to defendant no.5, who is residing outside India and does not have an agent/grievance officer in India empowered to accept the service, on their



designated e-mail IDs alone. The plaintiff is exempted from the requirement of serving them through other modes of services.

19. Summons be issued to the remaining defendants no.6, 7, and 11, *via* all permissible modes, including e-mail.

20. Summons shall state the written statement to the suit shall be filed by the defendants within thirty days from the receipt of summons. Along with the written statement(s), the defendants shall also file affidavit of admission/denial of the documents of the plaintiffs, without which the written statement(s) shall not be taken on record.

21. Liberty is given to the plaintiffs to file replication(s), if any, within thirty days from the receipt of the written statement(s). Along with the replication(s) filed by the plaintiffs, affidavit of admission/denial of the documents of the defendants be filed by the plaintiffs.

22. The parties shall file all original documents in support of their respective claims along with their respective pleadings. In case parties are placing reliance on a document, which is not in their power and possession, its detail and source shall be mentioned in the list of reliance, which shall also be filed with the pleadings.

23. If any of the parties wish to seek inspection of any documents, the same shall be sought and given within the timelines.

24. List before the Joint Registrar on 28th March, 2025 for completion of service and pleadings.

25. List before the Court on 7th April, 2025.

I.A. 49759/2024 (O-XXXIX Rule 1 & 2 of CPC)

26. The present suit has been filed seeking relief of permanent injunction, restraining the defendants from infringing the trademarks of the plaintiffs,

CS(COMM) 1198/2024

Page 4 of 14

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 02/01/2025 at 12:44:03



passing off, along with other ancillary reliefs.

CASE SETUP IN THE PLAINT

27. The plaintiffs are part of the ‘SAIF Partners’ network, which comprises multiple entities operating in various jurisdictions. The origin of the name “SAIF” is that it is an abbreviation of the term ‘Softbank Asia Infrastructure Fund’ which was established in 2001 and stated to have become one of the most influential equity investment firms in China and India.

28. It is stated that the ‘SAIF Partners’ network funds are leading Asian private equity funds, with over USD 4 billion in assets under management. It is stated that the plaintiff makes privately negotiated equity or equity-linked investments across several growth sectors, particularly internet+, TMT, healthcare, new materials, and clean technologies. The plaintiff provides financial support to high-growth enterprises in the Asia-Pacific region, focusing on investments in early-stage and growth-stage high-tech enterprises in China and India. As one of the earliest large-scale equity investment fund management platforms in China, ‘SAIF Partners’ operates the websites <https://www.sbaif.com> and <https://www.saifpartners.com.cn/>, where it uses

the logo  prominently.

29. It is averred that ‘SAIF Partners’ has invested in marquee portfolio companies across several funds in India, including JustDial, MakeMyTrip, One97 Communications (Paytm), SIFY, and Brainbees (FirstCry), among others.

30. The group holding company of the plaintiff ‘Saif Advisors Limited’ has obtained registration of the trademark ‘SAIF PARTNERS’ in India and Korea, details of which are provided in the table below:

CS(COMM) 1198/2024

Page 5 of 14

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 02/01/2025 at 12:44:03



Country	Trademark	Registration no.	Application Date	Class(es)
Korea	SAIF Partners	4101606680000	02-11-2006	35 and 36
India	SAIF PARTNERS	1501759	06-11-2006	35 and 36

31. It is averred that following the liquidation of Saif Advisors Limited, the trademark registration no.1501759 for ‘SAIF PARTNERS’ in India was assigned to plaintiff no. 1 *via* a deed of assignment dated 17th October 2024.

32. The defendant no.1 [John Doe], are unknown entities who are illegally operating fraudulent and dubious trading and investment businesses under the name ‘SAIF’ and ‘SAIF PARTNERS’. Additionally, the defendant no.1 entities have registered several fraudulent and misleading domain names incorporating plaintiff’s name, ‘SAIF’, to operate websites and platforms designed to solicit investments from the public. It is stated the imposters communicate with the victims *via* WhatsApp Accounts and Groups.

33. The defendants no. 2 to 5 are Domain Name Registrars (DNRs) of the impugned websites created by the defendant no.1.

34. The defendants no. 6 and 7 are Bharti Airtel Ltd. and Vodafone Idea Ltd. respectively, the telecom and internet service providers of the impugned mobile numbers being used by the defendant no.1 entities to communicate with their targeted victims.

35. The defendants no. 8 and 9 are the Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MeitY), and the Department of Telecommunications (DoT) respectively.

36. The defendant no.10 [WhatsApp LLC] is the provider of a messaging service known as ‘WhatsApp’. The defendant no.1 entities are using the services of the defendant no.10 to target and defraud the targeted victims.



37. The defendant no. 11 to 13 are the banks where the imposters are operating the impugned bank accounts which are being used to illegally procure money from innocent investors, under the guise of being official and authentic bank accounts of the plaintiffs.

38. In August 2023, the plaintiff no.2 came across a YouTube video by "Gaming MegaMind" promoting an investment platform at www.saifvip1.com. It is contended that the video misused the plaintiffs' trademarks 'SAIF' and 'SAIF PARTNERS' under descriptions like "Saif VIP App" and "Saif Partners App." It is stated that several users in the comments labelled the platform as fraudulent, citing money withdrawal issues. The plaintiffs confirmed that the platform was non-operational, and the domain was available for sale.

39. On August 28, 2023, the plaintiff no.2 lodged a complaint on the National Cybercrime Reporting Portal, Thereafter on September 20, 2024, a victim, Mr. Krishan Kumar, reported being defrauded of ₹9.24 lakhs via a fraudulent trading platform, "SAIF LNC," which falsely used the plaintiffs' name. Documents, including WhatsApp chats, receipts, and registration confirmations, corroborate the fraudulent activities are filed along with the suit.

40. The plaintiffs upon investigation came across other fraudulent websites and platforms like www.saif99.com and h5.saifindia.org, linked to similar schemes using their trademarks and company details. These operations employed APK links for clandestine app distribution. Further, on December 12, 2024, another victim reported fraud involving a WhatsApp group named "W10 SAIF India Investment Management," highlighting continued misuse of the plaintiffs' name and trademarks by the defendant no.1 entities.

CS(COMM) 1198/2024

Page 7 of 14

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 02/01/2025 at 12:44:03



41. Based on the averments made in the plaint, the plaintiffs have established their proprietary rights over the mark 'SAIF PARTNERS' and a *prima facie* case has been made out in favour of the plaintiffs. Clearly, the plaintiff's name and mark are being used by the defendants with the clear intent to defraud and deceive the public.

42. Balance of convenience is in favour of the plaintiffs and against the defendants. Irreparable harm and injury would be caused not only to the plaintiffs but also to the public at large since potential customers of the plaintiffs are being targeted and suffering monetary loss.

43. Consequently, till the next date of hearing, the defendant no.1, its employees, partners, servants, agents, representatives, and all other persons/entities in active concert or participation with them are restrained from infringing plaintiff no.1's registered trademark 'SAIF PARTNERS' and/or their variations, part of their domain names, websites, mobile applications, WhatsApp and other messaging Apps, social media handle names/profiles, credentials/description, promotional/business activities on digital or print media, bank accounts and/or any business papers, etc. in any manner.

44. The defendants no. 2 to 5 (DNRs) are directed to lock and suspend the domain names mentioned in DOCUMENT-A annexed with the order and disclose their complete KYC information including payment details to the plaintiffs.

45. The defendants no. 6 and 7 (Telecom and ISPs) are directed to block the services of the telephone numbers mentioned in DOCUMENT-B annexed with the order and file the KYC documents including SIM card registration forms of the telephone numbers mentioned in DOCUMENT-B before the

CS(COMM) 1198/2024

Page 8 of 14

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 02/01/2025 at 12:44:03



Court.

46. The defendants no. 8 and 9 (MeitY and DoT), are directed to issue necessary notifications/directions to all telecom and internet service providers and domain name Registrars in India to block, delete and remove access to all the telephone numbers, websites, and domain name which are mentioned in DOCUMENTS- A and B, (annexed with the order) respectively.

47. The defendant no.10 (WhatsApp), is directed to block the WhatsApp services of the impugned mobile numbers, and block access/delete the WhatsApp Groups mentioned in DOCUMENT- C annexed with the order, subject to defendant no.10 being able to identify the same.

48. The defendant no.11 to 13 (Banks) are directed to immediately freeze the Bank Accounts mentioned in DOCUMENT-D annexed with the order and file the complete KYC documents, including the bank account opening forms and bank statements from the date of opening of the impugned bank accounts till the date of freezing of the accounts, before the Court.

49. Issue Notice.

50. Notice is accepted by the counsel appearing on behalf of the defendants no. 2, 8, 9, 10, 12 and 13.

51. Notice be issued to the defendants no.3 and 4, being DNRs, through their designated Grievance Officers *via* e-mail alone. Notice be issued to defendant no.5, who is residing outside India and does not have an agent/grievance officer in India empowered to accept the service, on their designated e-mail IDs alone. The plaintiff is exempted from the requirement of serving them through other modes of services.

52. Notice be issued to the remaining defendants no.6, 7, and 11, *via* all

CS(COMM) 1198/2024

Page 9 of 14

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 02/01/2025 at 12:44:03



permissible modes, including e-mail.

53. Reply(ies) be filed within four (4) weeks. Rejoinder(s) thereto, if any, be filed within two (2) weeks thereafter.

54. Compliance of Order XXXIX Rule 3 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (CPC) shall be done within three days from today.

55. Compliance affidavit in respect of directions contained in paragraphs 42 to 46 above shall be filed by the defendants within four weeks.

56. List before the Joint Registrar on 28th March, 2025 for completion of service and pleadings.

57. List before the Court on 7th April, 2025.

AMIT BANSAL, J

DECEMBER 24, 2024

Vivek

CS(COMM) 1198/2024

Page 10 of 14

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 02/01/2025 at 12:44:03



DOCUMENT – A
LIST OF IMPUGNED DOMAIN NAMES

S. No.	Domain Name	Registration date	DNR	Defendant No.
1.	saifindia0.com	23.07.2024	GoDaddy.com, LLC	2
2.	saif99.com	05.07.2024	NameSilo, LLC	3
3.	saifindia3.com	24.07.2024	NameSilo, LLC	3
4.	saifindialimited.com	04.07.2024	Hostinger Operations., UAB	4
5.	saifindia.org	09.10.2024	Dynadot Inc.	5

CS(COMM) 1198/2024

Page 11 of 14

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 02/01/2025 at 12:44:03



DOCUMENT – B

LIST OF IMPUGNED MOBILE NUMBERS

S. No.	Mobile No.	Telecom/ISP	Mobile No. concerned with
1.	+91 99870 93523	Bharti Airtel (Def. No. 6)	Contact number on website www.saif99.com
2.	+91 89796 16176	Bharti Airtel	Contact number on website www.saif99.com
3.	+91 73972 56461	Bharti Airtel	Customer service manager on WhatsApp group A-SAIF India VIP-39610
4.	+91 73043 71893	Bharti Airtel	Created WhatsApp Group W41 SAIF India Investment/ Sharon Trivedis
5.	+91 81096 50775	Bharti Airtel	Nitish Jha
6.	+91 88714 16840	Bharti Airtel	Sharon Trivedis
7.	+91 77084 93882	Bharti Airtel	Sharon Trivedis
8.	+91 91792 35963	Bharti Airtel	Chief Analyst
9.	+91 99932 76979	Bharti Airtel	Chief Analyst
10.	+91 90587 99301	Bharti Airtel	Letter issued to victim
11.	+91 91360 39523	Bharti Airtel	Sharon Trivedis
12.	+91 75080 22273	Vodafone Idea (Def. No. 7)	Letter issued to victim



DOCUMENT – C

LIST OF IMPUGNED WHATSAPP NUMBERS AND GROUPS

Impugned Mobile Numbers Associated with WhatsApp			
S. No.	Mobile No.	Concerned with	Document Pg. No.
1.	+91 90587 99301	Letter issued to victim	316
2.	+91 99932 76979	Chief Analyst	260
3.	+91 91360 39523	Sharon Trivedis	310
Impugned WhatsApp Groups			
4.	A-SAIF India VIP-39610		172
5.	W41 SAIF India Investment Management		207, 250
6.	B1001SAIF LNC business studies		302
7.	B55-SAIF LNC		304
8.	W10 SAIF India Investment Management		308



DOCUMENT – D

LIST OF IMPUGNED BANK ACCOUNTS

S. No	Bank (Defendant No.)	Bank Account No.	IFSC Code	Branch	Source of Information
1.	Bandhan Bank (Def. No. 11)	20100026060779	BDBL0001747	Jharsuguda	Victim's email
2.	Bandhan Bank	20100029760843	BDBL0001922	Balangir	Victim's email
3.	Bandhan Bank	20100030362199	BDBL0001636	Zoo road Guwahati	Victim's email
4.	Bandhan Bank	20100031477206	BDBL0001020	Madhyamgram	Victim's email
5.	UCO Bank (Def. No. 12)	18600210005255	UCBA0001860	Kopar Khairne	Victim's email
6.	UCO Bank	03450210008164	UCBA0000345	Muglisara Surat	Impugned WhatsApp Group W41 SAIF India Investment Management
7.	UCO Bank	22720210005309	UCBA0002272	Mira Bhyander Road	Impugned WhatsApp Group W41 SAIF India Investment Management
8.	Bank of Baroda (Def. No. 13)	56960200000577	BARB0FALAKA	Falakata, West Bengal	Victim's email

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
(ORDINARY COMMERCIAL JURISDICTION)

CS (COMM) NO. _____ OF 2024

CODE: 50000, 50000.4

IN THE MATTER OF:

SAIF HONG KONG HOLDINGS LTD. & ANR. ...PLAINTIFF

VERSUS

JOHN DOE / ASHOK KUMAR & ORS. ...DEFENDANTS

MEMO OF PARTIES

IN THE MATTER OF:

1. Saif Hong Kong Holdings Ltd.
Suite 1102, 11/F, Five Pacific Place,
28 Hennessy Road, Wanchai, Hong Kong
E-mail: litigation@fiduslawchambers.com PLAINTIFF NO. 1

2. Light Ray Advisors LLP
Registered address:
Leela Galleria, 23 Airport Road,
HAL II Stage Kodihalli Village,
Varthur Hobli, Ward No.74,
Bangalore, Karnataka, 560008
E-mail: litigation@fiduslawchambers.com PLAINTIFF NO. 2

VERSUS

1. John Doe/Ashok Kumar DEFENDANT NO. 1

2. GoDaddy.com, LLC
2155 E GoDaddy Way,
Tempe Arizona 85284, USA
Through its Grievance Officer

- Email: legal@godaddy.com
grievanceofficer@godaddy.com DEFENDANT NO. 2
3. NameSilo, LLC
 5322 E Anderson Dr, Scottsdale,
 AZ,85254- 5891, USA
 Through its Grievance Officer
 Mr. Kristaps Ronka
 Contact: +1 (602) 492-8198
 Email: kristaps@namesilo.com
legal@namesilo.com DEFENDANT NO. 3
4. Hostinger Operations, UAB
 Švitrigailos Street 34, Vilnius 03230
 Lithuania
 Phone: +37064503378
 Email: abuse@hostinger.com
domains@hostinger.com
compliance@hostinger.com DEFENDANT NO. 4
5. Dynadot Inc.
 210 S, Ellsworth Ave #345 San Mateo,
 California 94401, USA
 Through its Grievance Officer
 Email: nixi-grievance-officer@dynadot.com DEFENDANT NO. 5
6. Bharti Airtel Ltd.
 Registered Address:
 Airtel Centre, Tower-A, 6th floor
 ‘A’ Wing, Plot No.16, Udyog Vihar
 Ph- IV, Gurgaon – 122016
 Email: amit.bhatia@airtel.com
Nikunj.Saluja@airtel.com
compliance.officer@bharti.in DEFENDANT NO. 6
7. Vodafone Idea Ltd.
 Corporate Address:
 10th Floor, Birla Centurian,
 Century Mills Compound,

Pandurang Budhkar Marg,
Worli Mumbai-400 030

Email: Pankaj.kapdeo@vodafoneidea.com;

Lavati.sairam@vodafoneidea.com;

Amit.jain7@vodafoneidea.com;

Abhishek.jhal1@vodafoneidea.com

.... DEFENDANT NO. 7

8. Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MeitY)

Through the Director General (DIT) Cyber Laws

Electronics Niketan, 6-CGO Complex,

Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110003

E-mail: cyberlaw@meity.gov.in;

gccyberlaws@meity.gov.in;

pkumar@meity.gov.in;

uoidhc@gmail.com

.... DEFENDANT NO. 8

9. Department of Telecommunications (DoT)

Through its secretary,

Ministry of Communications and IT,

20, Sanchar Bhawan, Ashoka Road, New Delhi-110001

Email: secy-dot@nic.in;

dirids2-dot@nic.in;

uoidhc@gmail.com

.... DEFENDANT NO. 9

10. WhatsApp LLC

Unit B8 and B10

The Executive Center

Level 18, DLF Cyber City

Building No. 5, Tower A, Phase-3

Gurugram – 122002

Through Grievance Officer

Email: grievance_officer_wa@support.whatsapp.com

.... DEFENDANT NO. 10

Also Served through Counsel

Email: wa.litigation@amsshardul.com

11. Bandhan Bank Limited
 Registered address:
 DN-32, Sector V Salt Lake, Kolkata,
 West Bengal- 700091
 Email: companysecretary@bandhanbank.com
pno@bandhanbank.com DEFENDANT NO. 11

12. UCO Bank
 Head Office
 10, BTM Sarani, Kolkata - 700 001
 West Bengal
 Email: holaw.calcutta@ucobank.co.in DEFENDANT NO. 12

Also Served through Nominated Counsel:
 Mr. Sarfaraz Khan
 Email: sarfarazadv@yahoo.co.in
 Mobile: 9899140169

13. Bank of Baroda
 Head Office:
 General Manager (Principal Nodal Officer)
 Baroda Bhawan
 7th floor, R.C. Dutt Road,
 Vadodara, Gujarat – 390007
 Email: cs.ho@bankofbaroda.com
legal.headoffice@bankofbaroda.com DEFENDANT NO. 13

Also Served through Nominated Counsel:
 Ms. Praveena Gautam
 Email: praveena1807@hotmail.com
 Mobile: 9868100876, 9911873774

Filed by:



Priya Adlakha | Sucharu Garg | Shilpi Sinha
 [D/2663/2010] | [D/7241/2018] | [D/2774/2020]

Fidus Law Chambers

Advocates for the Plaintiff

F-12, Sector – 8, Noida – 201301

Mob. No.: +91 – 9911167179

E: litigation@fiduslawchambers.com

Place: New Delhi
 Date: 20th December 2024

Annexure

Subject: Action requested to be taken by MEITY and Plaintiff for effective removal of content for viewing by public at large within India as per the said orders of Hon'ble Court.

It is observed that a number of orders of Hon'ble Court are issued for blocking of websites every month. There are around more than 2700 ISPs in India and these ISPs are connected among themselves in a mesh network. DOT is instructing each of the ISPs through emails/through its website for blocking of the websites as ordered by the Hon'ble Courts. Ensuring compliance of the orders by each of the ISPs is a time-consuming and complex task especially in view of multiplicity of orders of Hon'ble Courts, multiplicity of websites to be blocked and multiplicity of ISPs.

2. Allocation of Business Rules inter-alia states thus:-

'Policy matters relating to information technology; Electronics; and Internet (all matters other than licensing of Internet Service Provider).'

3. In view of above and in order to ensure effective removal by content for viewing by public at large, the plaintiff is requested to do a trace route of the web server hosting the said website. In case the web server happens to be in India, the plaintiff may inform the same to Meity who may direct the owner of such web server to stop transmission of content as per IT Act and as directed by the Hon'ble Court so that the content would be blocked from the source itself and the exercise of blocking by 2700 ISPs would not be required.

4. In case such server is located abroad i.e. outside India then access to such URL/website can be blocked through the international internet gateways which are much less in number. This would result in timely and effectively removal of undesirable content for viewing by public at large as is the requirement as per the orders of Hon'ble Court.