

Detail of RTI Applications Appeal received in Admn-I Section under the control of Deputy Secretary(Admn-I) & CPIO, in the Month of May, 2015.

S. No.	Name of Applicant	Page No.	
		RTI Application/Appeal	Reply
1.	Sh. Rajeev Agrawal	1	2
2.	Sh. Rajeev Agrawal	3	4
3.	Sh. B.D. Baweja	5	6
4.	Sh. Brij Mohan	7-8	9
5.	Sh. H.K. Bansal	10-13	14

RAJEEV AGRAWAL

C-1/ 47, Bapa Nagar, Near Delhi High Court,
New Delhi-110003. Phone : 8586982727

Dated : 17th April, 2015.

To,

Shri O.S. Ashok,
C.P.I.O & Deputy Secretary,
Administration Division,
Department of Telecommunications,
Sanchar Bhavan,
New Delhi

Sub: Request for information under RTI Act, 2005


Dear Sir,

It is to request you to kindly provide to me under the RTI Act the following information :-

- (i) Date of holding the meeting the '**Group A Departmental Confirmation Committee for confirmation of absorbees**' for the post of Member(Technology) in the year 2014-15.
- (ii) Copy of the notice issued for holding the said meeting.
- (iii) copy of the minutes recorded in the meeting..
- (iv) Copy of the communication(s) sent to UPSC or any other office in this regard.

2. Necessary RTI fee of Rs.10/- has already been paid by cash (receipt enclosed).

Yours faithfully,


(Rajeev Agrawal)

RTI DS(Admin) CPID
Department of Telecom
Sanchar Bhavan, N. Delhi

17-4/15
Ten only
cash
10/-
45/ RTI/2015

C-132924/DS(Admin)/2015
17/4/15

17/04/15
DS(Admin-2)

17/4/15
So (Admin)

17/4/15
S. Hemant. Agrawal

भारत सरकार / Government of India

संचार एवं सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी मंत्रालय / Ministry of Communications & IT

दूरसंचार विभाग / Department of Telecommunications,

415 संचार भवन, 20 अशोका रोड / 415, Sanchar Bhawan, 20 Ashoka Road,

नई दिल्ली / New Delhi-110 001

दिनांक: 13/5/2015

To

Shri Rajeev Agrawal
C-1/47, Bapa Nagar,
Near Delhi High Court,
New Delhi - 110 003

Sub: RTI application of Shri Rajeev Agrawal seeking information under RTI Act, 2005.

Sir,

I am to refer to your application dated 17.04.2015 seeking information under RTI Act, 2005. The reply to information sought by you in the application is as under:

S. No.	Information sought for	Reply
1.	Date of holding the meeting ' Group A Departmental Confirmation Committee for confirmation of absorbees ' for the post of Member (Technology) in the year 2014-15.	No such meeting held.
2.	Copy of the notice issued for holding the said meeting.	Does not arise.
3.	Copy of the minutes recorded in the meeting.	-NA-
4.	Copy of the communications(s) sent to UPSC or any other office in this regard.	-NA-

2. The appeal, if any, against the information furnished herein may be preferred before Shri Shashi Ranjan Kumar, Joint Secretary (Admn.) & Appellate Authority, Department of Telecommunications within 30 days from the date of issue of this letter.

(O S Ashok)

Deputy Secretary (Admn. I) & CPIO

Tel: 2303 6053

Copy to:

RTI Folder.

109/2015
 14/5/15

OC

RAJEEV AGRAWAL

C-1/47, Bapa Nagar, Near Delhi High Court,
New Delhi-110003. Mobile 8586982727.

Dated : 23rd April, 2015.

To,

Shri O.S. Ashok,
C.P.I.O & Deputy Secretary,
Administration Division,
Department of Telecommunications,
Sanchar Bhavan,
New Delhi

Sub: Request for information under RTI Act, 2005

Dear Sir,

It is to request you to kindly provide to me under the RTI Act following information regarding the selection of Member(Technology) and Member(Services), Telecom Commission, :-

(i) whether any complaint/representation has been received from any of the officers listed below, in the last 3 months, regarding selection for the post of members in Telecom Commission :-

- (I) Shri S.S. Sirohi ✓
- (ii) Shri Narendra K. Yadav, ✓
- (iii) Shri Peeyush Agrawal
- (iv) Shri Pradeep K. Agarwal ✓
- (v) Shri A.K. Bhargava
- (vi) Shri A.K. Mittal
- (vii) Shri Arun Kumar Goel

(ii) Kindly provide a copy each of such complaint/representation.

(iii) Kindly provide information on their disposal.

2. Necessary RTI fee of Rs.10/- has already been paid by cash.

Yours Sincerely,

(Rajeev Agrawal)

[Handwritten Signature]
28/4/15

DS(Admin I)/CPIO

23-4-15
ten only
CASH
10/-
77/RTI/2015

-13364/2015/DS(Ad.I)
24/4/2015

24/4/15

US(AI)

24/4/15

27/4/15

Hemant Arora

दिनांक: 19/5/2015

To

Shri Rajeev Agrawal
C-1/47, Bapa Nagar,
Near Delhi High Court,
New Delhi – 110 003

Sub: RTI application of Shri Rajeev Agrawal seeking information under RTI Act, 2005.

Sir,

I am to refer to your application dated 23.04.2015 seeking information under RTI Act, 2005. The reply to information sought by you in the application is as under:

S. No.	Information sought for	Reply
1.	whether any complaint/ representation has been received from any of the officers listed below, in the last 3 months, regarding selection for the post of Members in Telecom Commission: - i. Shri S.S. Sirohi, ii. Shri Narendra K. Yadav, iii. Shri Peeyush Agrawal, iv. Pradeep K. Agarwal, v. A.K. Bhargava, vi. Shri A.K. Mittal, vii. Shri Arun Kumar Goel	No complaint has been received from any of the listed officers.
2.	Kindly provide a copy each of such complaint/ representation	Does not arise.
3.	Kindly provide information on their disposal.	Not Applicable

2. The appeal, if any, against the information furnished herein may be preferred before Shri Shashi Ranjan Kumar, Joint Secretary (Admn.) & Appellate Authority, Department of Telecommunications within 30 days from the date of issue of this letter.

Issued
29/5/15

(O S Ashok)
 Deputy Secretary (Admn. I) & CPIO
 Tel: 2303 6053

Copy to:

RTI Folder.

7/2

5

SPEED POST

Dt. 12th May, 2015

The Central Public Information Officer cum
Under Secretary to Government of India,
Ministry of Communications & IT, Deptt. of Telecom,
Sanchar Bhawan, Ashoka Road,
N. Delhi-110001

Sub: Request for furnishing information under RTI Act 2005.

Sir,

Shri K.R.Ranganathan, permanent Section Officer of CSS of 1979 batch was given promotion to the post of Under Secretary on adhoc basis by the DOPT vide orders dt. 19/11/93 (copy enclosed). His serial No. in the Centralised Seniority List of SOs at that time was 1416 which was subsequently revised to 3204 as per Revised Seniority List circulated by the DOPT on 3/12/97.

2. Non Functional Scale of Rs. 8000-275-13500 was introduced for SOs of CSS having four years of service vide DOPT OM No. 21/36/03- CS.I dt. 13/11/2003. As per another subsequent OM of theirs, the Notional pay of the SOs who had completed four years of service in the Grade was to be fixed as on 1-1-96, though the actual benefits were to be payable only w.e.f. 03-10-2003.

3. I shall be grateful if information on following points is kindly furnished i.r.o. the above named officer:

- (i) The date from which he was actually given the ad-hoc promotion of U/S.
- (ii) The stage in the NFS at which his pay was notionally fixed as on 1-1-96.

4. An IPO No. 21 F 277121 worth Rs. 10/- is enclosed towards the requisite fee.

Thanking you,

Encl: As above.

Yours faithfully,

(Signature)
(B.D. BAWEJA)
B-3/399, Paschim Vihar,
N. Delhi-110063.
Mob: 8800872800.

RTI

IMMEDIATE
2575

US (COP)

591/DSG
15.05.15

सूचना अधिकारी (RTI) Section
257/DOPT
18/5/2015

15/5/15 (15)

Sh. Deepan
ns (Act. 2)

Jay
18/5/15

17
6
Most Immediate

F.No.50-36/2015-Admn.I
Government of India
Ministry of Communications & IT
Department of Telecommunications
415, Sanchar Bhavan, 20 Ashoka Road, New Delhi-1

Date: 4th June 2015

To

Sh.B.D.Baweja
B-3/399, Paschim Vihar
New Delhi-110063


Subject: Application dated 12.05.2015 seeking information under RTI Act 2005-reg.

Sir,

With reference to your application dated 12.05.2015, the requisite information in respect of Sh.K.R.Ranganathan, Under Secretary(Retired), is furnished as under:

Sl.No.	Information sought	Information furnished
i.	The date from which he was actually given the ad-hoc promotion of US	19.11.1993
ii.	The stage in the NFS at which his pay was notionally fixed as on 01.01.96.	'Nil' information There is no entry regarding grant of NFS to Sh.Ranaganathan in Section Officer Grade, in his Service Book.

2. The appeal, if any, under RTI Act may be preferred before Sh.Shashi Ranjan Kumar, JS(Admn.) & Appellate Authority, DoT, within 30 days from the date hereof.


(O.S.Ashok)
Deputy Secretary(Admn.I) & CPIO
Ph.No.23036053

Issued
4/6/15

7/6

7 (3)

To

The ADG (Admn.) & CPIO,
Room NO.108, Dak Bhawan,
Sansad Marg,
New Delhi-110001.

79
12/4/15

Sub.-: Application under RTI Act-2005-Confirmation as LDC.

Sir,

I have been working as LDC on adhoc basis since the year 1990. I have not been confirmed so far in the LDC cadre whereas those junior to me as Group 'D' (redesigned as MTS) have been promoted as regular LDCs on substantive basis ignoring my right.

2. In the year 2010, I was directed to undergo medical exam as a prerequisite for promotion to LDC regular basis. The medical exam was conducted at RML Hospital and fitness certificate was submitted to the Administration Section immediately. Despite of all this, I was not promoted as regular LDC. I am still continuing to work as LDC on adhoc basis having joined as Group 'D' regular in 1985.

3. In view of the above following information is sought for:

- ✓ i. How many erstwhile Group 'D's now MTS have been confirmed in LDC cadre after 1990.
- ii. How many Group 'D' have been confirmed as LDC who were junior to me in Gr. 'D' Cadre.
- iii. Why have I not been promoted/confirmed as LDC ever after 25 years of service as LDC and even after submission of medical certificate.
- iv. Why have I not been appointed as LDC in substantive capacity even after 25 years of service as adhoc LDC.
- ✓ v. Have any adhoc LDC been reverted since 1990.
- ✓ vi. Have any adhoc LDCs been promoted after 1990. What was their length of service as adhoc LDC.
- vii. What is the criteria for promotion of adhoc LDCs to regular LDC Cadre.
- viii. Under which rule am I made to loose my seniority by continuing to work as adhoc LDC and reasons for not promoting me on regular basis.
- ix. Why has willingness not be called for from me for working as LDC regular basis. While others have been promoted as regular LDC who ranked junior to me.
- x. Has any responsibility been fixed for not given me regular LDC status as per my position in the seniority list/entitlement. Who is accountable for my supersession.

Admn. Section 12/4
Digi Dak No. 13/4
Date 13/4

[RTI]

✓ My
13/04/15
S2(A) 12/4/15 My - Signe

Contd. p/2

8

-2-

Please find attached herewith an IPO No. 21F 272795 dated 9.4.15 issued by Sansad Marg, HO for an amount of Rs.10/- as RTI fee. It is requested to send the information at the earliest.

Yours faithfully

Encl. 1

Brij Mohan
13-4-15

(Brij Mohan)

LDC, DAA Section,
Room No.343

9

No. 50-38/2015-Admn.I
Government of India
Ministry of Communications & IT
Department of Telecommunication
Sanchar Bhawan, 20, Ashoka Road, New Delhi - 110001.

Date: 29/5/15

To

Sh. Brij Mohan
LDC, DAA Section, Room No. 343,
Dak Bhawan, Sansad Marg,
New Delhi-110001.

Sub : Information under RTI Act, 2005.


Sir,

With reference to your RTI application dated 13.04.2015 received through ADG(Admn) & CPIO, Department of Posts, Dak Bhawan, New Delhi, vide letter No. 41-17/2015-Admn dated 19.05.2015 for furnishing the information in respect of Point i, v & vi. The information sought vide Point I, v & vi and reply thereto concerning undersigned CPIO is as under :

S. No.	Information sought	Reply
1.	How many erstwhile Group 'D's now MTS, have been confirmed in LDC cadre after 1990.	As per records available in Admn-I Section 23 Group 'D' officials (14 of Department of Telecom and 9 of Department of Posts) were promoted as Lower Division Clerks purely on ad-hoc basis.
2.	Have any ad-hoc LDC been reverted since 1990.	No records available.
3.	Have any ad-hoc LDCs been promoted after 1990. What was their length of service as ad-hoc LDC.	As per records available in Admn-I Section total 10 Group 'D' officials were promoted as LDC on regular basis on seniority quota since SL year 1990 to 1994. Records regarding length of service as ad-hoc LDC of these officials is not available.

2. Appeal, if any, in the case may be preferred before Sh. Shashi Ranjan Kumar, JS(A), and Appellate Authority, Department of Telecom, within 30 days from the date of receipt of this letter.

Yours faithfully,


(O.S. Ashok)
Deputy Secretary(Admn-I) & CPIO
Tel. 23036053

*o/c
issued
11/6/15*

SHRI Na Mo	AAGAYE	AB VAYADA NIBHAO	ACHEE DIN LAO
<u>R.T.I. Matter/Most Urgent</u>			
No: - HKB /PF/ RTI (3) / 5-Vol-VI / 577			Dated: - 15.05. 2015.

To,

The Chief Central Information Commissioner,
Central Information Commission,
2nd Floor, 'B'-Wing, Bhikaji Kama Place,
NEW DELHI-110066.

[Delivery through CAPIO Rohtak]

Sub: - 2nd Appeals / COMPLAINT U/S-19/18 of "THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT-2005".

INDEX OF DOCUMENTS ENCLOSED.

S.No	Description of Doc.	Ref No of Doc	Date of Doc.	REMARKS /P-No
1	Request for information	HKB/PF/RTI(3)/5/Vol-VI/ 411	30.06.2014 , 06.10.14, 05.12.14 & 05.03.15	Annex-P-1-2, 11-12 &16-17.
2	[i] Resp of Dir [Civil] & CPIO [ii] Dy Secy (Admn. I) & CPIO	[i] 18.05.14-CWG [ii]50-24/2014-Admn-I	[i] 23.07.14 , 25.07.14 & 28.11.14 [ii] 20.08.14 , 5.11.2014 & 17.12.2014	Annex-P-3, 4-5 & 15. Annex-P-8 &14.
3	My F.A Vide letter No	HKB/PF/RTI (3) /5/Vol-VI / 434	25.08.2014 & 26.09.2014	Annex-P- 6-7 & 9.
4.	[i] Sr DDG (BW) DOT cum FAA [ii] J.S. [Admn] DOT cum FAA	[i] 15-2 / 20-RTI A.O/27/2014) [ii] 50-43/2014-Admn-I	[i] 18.09.14 [ii] 05.11.2014	Annex-P-10. Annex-P-13.

Sir,

Kindly refer to above noted communications on the subject cited above. My 2nd Appeal in the prescribed format [as per RTI Rules-2012] as also COMPLAINT is submitted as under please:-

S.NO.	Particulars Required	Details
1.	Name & Address of the Appellant.	Er. H.K. BANSAL ,KANTA NIWAS, 1011/24 Jagdish Colony, Rohtak -124001 Tel :- 01262-255550 , Mob:- 09466723033
2	Name & Address of Central Public Information Officer to whom the application was addressed.	Sh S.P.Mahapatra, Dir [Civil], Cum CPIO [D.O.T.], 11 th Floor, SANCHAR BHAWAN, 20 ASHOKA ROAD, New Delhi-110001.
3.	Name & Address of Central Public Information Officer who gave reply to the Application.	[i] Sh S.P.Mahapatra, Dir [Civil], Cum CPIO [D.O.T.], 11 th Floor, SANCHAR BHAWAN, 20 ASHOKA ROAD, New Delhi-110001. [ii] Sh O.S. Ashok, Dy Secy (Admn-I) & Deemed CPIO [D.O.T.], 415 SANCHAR BHAWAN, 20 ASHOKA ROAD, New Delhi-110001.
4.	Name & Address of the Ist Appellate Authority who decided the Ist Appeal.,	[i] S/Sh. P.K.Panigrihi (Retd) / A.K.Mittal Sr DDG(BW) Cum IST Appellate Authority [D.O.T.], 1105, SANCHAR BHAWAN,20 ASHOKA ROAD, New Delhi-110001. [ii] Sh. Shashi Ranjan Kumar JS (A), Cum deemed FAA [D.O.T.], 9 th Floor, SANCHAR BHAWAN, 20 ASHOKA ROAD, New Delhi-110001.

5.	Particulars of the Application.	HKB/PF/RTI (3) /5/Vol-VI /411 Dt 30.06.2014
6.	Particulars of the Order(s) including number, if any, against which 2 nd Appeal / COMPLAINT is preferred.	[i] 15-2 / 20-RTI A.O/27/2014) Dt. 18.09.2014 & [ii] 50-43 / 2014-Admn-I Dt. 05.11.2014.
7.	Brief facts leading to the 2 nd Appeal / COMPLAINT.	As per Appendix- A enclosed.
8.	Prayer or Relief sought;	[a] To Order the concerned Public Authority for supply of the correct requested information in a time bound manner free of cost. [b] To Order for taking Disciplinary Action against the individuals responsible for adopting misleading / twisting approach & for harassing the Information seeker. [c] To impose fine for the deliberate delay in supply of the requested information. [d] To order for any other relief as considered appropriate/proper in the facts & circumstance of the case.
9.	Grounds for the Prayer or Relief;	As per Appendix- B enclosed.
10.	Any other information relevant to the Appeal..	Applicant being a Senior Citizen, case deserves to be dealt on priority please.
11.	Verification / Authentication by the Appellant	Verified that the contents of this Complaint are true & correct to the best of my knowledge & belief and nothing has been concealed there from. Verified at Rohtak on 15.05.15. [H.K.Bansal]

Thank you

Yours Faithfully

Encl: - Appendix- A/B + 17 pages

[H.K. BANSAL]

Kanta Niwas

1011/24, Jagdish Colony,

ROHTAK-124001

[Mob No: 09466723033]

[E-Mail:- hklb4552@Yahoo.co.in]

Copy without enclosure for N/A to:-

- 1.0 Shashi Ranjan Kumar JS (A), Cum deemed FAA [D.O.T.], 9th Floor, SANCHAR BHAWAN, 20 ASHOKA ROAD, New Delhi-110001 please.
- 2.0 Sh S.P.Mahapatra, Dir [Civil], Cum CPIO [D.O.T.], 11th Floor, SANCHAR BHAWAN, 20 ASHOKA ROAD, New Delhi-110001. He may consider submission of this case to Sh A.K.Mittal, for appropriate directions to you in this regards please.

[Er. H.K. Bansal]

APPENDIX-ABRIEF FACTS OF THE CASE.

Certain information was requested from CPIO {Dir-Civil-DOT} vide application DT 30.06.2014 [Annex-P-1-2], to which response DT 23.07.2014 [Annex-P-3] was received vide which Para 3.0 was illegally transferred after splitting the request illegally to DY-Secy (Admn-I). Also vide letter Dt. 25.07.2014 [Annex-P-4-5] supplied certain information. Not satisfied with above responses, F. A DT 25.08.2014 [Annex-P- 6-7] was filed.

Thereafter DY-Secy (Admn-I) vide letter DT 20.08.2014 [Annex-P-8] supplied certain information. Not satisfied with above response further F. A DT 26.09.2014 [Annex-P- 9] was also filed. In response to F.A DT 25.08.2014 responses have been received from FAA vide letter DT 18.09.2014 [Annex-P-10]. As a further step in view of FAO DT 18.09.2014, files were inspected and as the record was not found maintained properly a letter DT 06.10.2014 [Annex-P-11-12] was sent to CPIO {Dir-Civil-DOT} with copy marked to FAA.

Thereafter JS (A) cum FAA vide FAO DT 05.11.2014 [Annex-P-13] directed DY-Secy (Admn-I) for supply of information. As a result DY-Secy (Admn-I) vide letter DT 05.11.2014 [Annex-P-14] supplied certain further information, which he should have supplied earlier timely also.

Thereafter CPIO {Dir-Civil-DOT} vide letter DT 26.11.2014 [Annex-P-15] confirmed that files have been got stitched, but on my subsequent visits to him in this regard, I was being asked to come again as he is busy with some other work and as a result file inspection could not be completed so far. As a result a letter DT 05.12.2014 [Annex-P-16-17] was sent to CPIO {Dir-Civil-DOT} to which response is still awaited despite reminder DT 05.03.2015 [and even inspection of files are still pending].

Not satisfied with above responses, as not in conformity with RTI Act provisions, besides being a case of supply of incomplete information, this Second Appeal / COMPLAINT is being filed please.

However for convenience referencing details of Communications of this case are also again indicated below:-

[1.0] My Request No:- HKB/PF/RTI (3) /5/Vol-VII / 411 Dated 30.06.2014, 06.10.2014, 05.12.2014 & 05.03.2015 [Del to CPIO on 01.07.14, 07.10.2014, 08.12.2014 & 05.03.2015 resp]

[2.1] Dir [Civil] & CPIO No:- 18.05.14-CWG Dt. 23.07.14 & 25.07.14 [Recd both on 31.07.14].

[2.2] DY Secy (Admn-I) & Deemed CPIO DOT No:- 50-24 /2014- Admn-I Dt. 20.08.14 & 05.11.2014
[Recd on 27.08.14 & 05.11.2014 resp].

[3.0] My F.A Vide letter No:- HKB/PF/RTI (3) /5/Vol-VI / 434 Dt 25.08.2014 & 26.09.2014

[4.1] Sr DDG (BW) DOT cum FAA No:- 15-2 / 20-RTI A.O/27/2014) DT 18.09.14 [Recd on 22.09.2014]

[4.2] J.S. [Admn] DOT cum FAA No:- 50-43/2014-Admn-I Dt 05.11.2014 [Recd on 13.11.2014]

[Er H.K. BANSAL]

CONTD

GROUND FOR THE PRAYER / RELIEF.

The APPEALANT/ COMPLAINANT submit following as 2nd Appeal / COMPLAINT, on the following grounds namely;

[A] GENERAL SUBMISSIONS:-

[i] In regards to (i) **alleged splitting & transfer** & (ii) **single CPIO / FAA for single RTI Application**, submissions made in this regards in FA DT 25.08.2014 are reiterated, which may please be refered to.

[B] Sub Para wise submissions already made in FAs DT 25.08.2014 & 26.09.2014 are reiterated, which may please be refered to. However **additional submission**, if any, point wise are as under please:-

Para 1.0 [a, c] & 4.0:- Submissions already made in FA DT 25.08.2014 refers. On what basis FAA in order DT 18.09.14 stated that requested aspects does not constitute information U/S 2(f) of RTI Act is not understood?

Para 1.0 [b]:- Submissions already made in FA DT 25.08.2014 refers.

Para 2.0 [a-c]:- Submissions already made in FA DT 25.08.2014 refers. On what basis FAA in order DT 18.09.14 stated that requested aspects against **Para 2.0 [b]** does not constitute information U/S 2(f) of RTI Act is not understood?

For allowed inspection against **Para 2.0 [a, c]** my letters DT 06.10.2014 [Annex-P-11-12], CPIO Ltr DT 26.11.2014 [Annex-P-15] & my letter DT 05.12.2014 [Annex-P-16-17] refers for which final outcome is still awaited please.

Para 2.0 [d]:- Submissions already made in FA DT 25.08.2014 refers.

Para 3.0 [a to f]:- Submissions already made in FA DT 26.09.2014 refers. In view of Dy Secy Ltr DT 05.11.2014 final position sub para wise is as under please.

[i] Para 3.0 [a]:- Requested information belatedly received which could have been supplied earlier timely.

[ii] Para 3.0 [b, c]:- Requested information belatedly received in **unclear manner**, which could have been supplied earlier timely.

[iii] Para 3.0 [d]:- Response vide letter DT 05.12.2014 [Annex-P-16-17] is **evasive** and correct information still awaited.

[iv] Para 3.0 [e]:- Response vide letter DT 05.12.2014 [Annex-P-16-17] is **evasive** as copy of refered DOP&T Ltr Dt 17.08.2005 has not been supplied.

[v] Para 3.0 [f]:- Response vide letter DT 05.12.2014 [Annex-P-16-17] is **evasive** and correct information still awaited.

[Er. H.K. Bansal]

Appeal before Sh. Shashi Ranjan Kumar, Joint Secretary(Admn) & Appellate Authority, Department of Telecommunications, 20, Ashoka Road, Sanchar Bhawan, New Delhi.

Appeal No. 2

Name of the Applicant : Sh. H.K. Bansal
Kanta Niwas,
1011/24, Jagdish Colony,
Rohtak-124001.

Brief facts of the case :

Sh. H.K. Bansal preferred second appeal vide his letter dated 15.05.2015 received through Dy. Secretary (Coordn) & CPIO of this Department vide OM No. 9-5/2015-RTI dated 25.05.2015. The applicant has made 2nd appeal on the ground that the information which has been furnished to him on the direction of JS(A), with reference to 1st appeal, vide Letter No. 50-24/2014-Admn.I dated 05.11.2014 is evasive in respect of Point 3.0(b), 3.0(c), 3.0(d), 3.0(e) & 3.0(f) and the information in respect of Point 3.0(a) should have been furnished earlier in time.

Decision with reasons :

Sh. H.K. Bansal had sought information vide RTI application dated 30.06.2014 received in this section on 25.07.2014 and the information was furnished to him vide Letter No. 50-24/2014-Admn.I dated 20.08.2014.

Sh. H.K. Bansal was not satisfied with the reply of Dy. Secretary(Admn-I) & CPIO and he preferred 1st appeal u/s 19 of RTI Act, 2005 before Sh. Shashi Ranjan Kumar, JS(A) and Appellate Authority, Department of Telecom. Accordingly, JS(A) directed Dy. Secretary(Admn-I) & CPIO vide letter No. 50-43/2014-Admn.I dated 05.11.2014 to furnish additional information to the applicant. Dy. Secretary(Admn-I) & CPIO furnished the additional information to Sh. H.K. Bansal vide letter No. 50-24/2014-Admn.I dated 05.11.2014.

The matter is disposed of accordingly.

The applicant may prefer an appeal u/s 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005 before the Central Information Commission, Room No. 326, 2nd Floor, C Wing, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066, against this Order within 90 days if he so desires.

Dated the 1st May, 2015

Shashi Ranjan Kumar
4/6/2015
(Shashi Ranjan Kumar)
Joint Secretary(Admn) & Appellate Authority

1. Sh. H.K. Bansal, Kanta Niwas, 1011/24, Jagdish Colony, Rohtak-124001.
2. DS(Admn-I) & CPIO, DoT

*Assignment
2/6*

ofc